WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Citrus Heights identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Sacramento County at the Galt City Council Chamber on October 17, 2018, at the Sacramento Regional Transit District Auditorium on October 22, 2018, at the Elk Grove City Council Chambers on October 23, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and
WHEREAS, the Sacramento County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of Citrus Heights as part of the Sacramento Regional Transit District.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samayoa, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
FINDING THAT THERE ARE NO UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS THAT ARE REASONABLE TO MEET IN THE CITY OF DAVIS

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Davis identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Yolo County at the Yolo County Transportation District Board Room on November 7, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of Davis.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samayoa, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander  
Chair

James Corless  
Executive Director
WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Elk Grove identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Sacramento County at the Galt City Council Chamber on October 17, 2018, at the Sacramento Regional Transit District Auditorium on October 22, 2018, at the Elk Grove City Council Chambers on October 23, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and
WHEREAS, the Sacramento County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of Elk Grove.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samaya, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankowitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 9 – 2019

FINDING THAT THERE ARE NO UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS THAT ARE REASONABLE TO MEET IN THE CITY OF GALT

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Galt identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Sacramento County at the Galt City Council Chamber on October 17, 2018, at the Sacramento Regional Transit District Auditorium on October 22, 2018, at the Elk Grove City Council Chambers on October 23, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and
WHEREAS, the Sacramento County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of Galt.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samayoa, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander  
Chair

James Coless  
Executive Director
WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Isleton identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Sacramento County at the Galt City Council Chamber on October 17, 2018, at the Sacramento Regional Transit District Auditorium on October 22, 2018, at the Elk Grove City Council Chambers on October 23, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and

WHEREAS, the Sacramento County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs in the City of Isleton.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samayoa, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 11 – 2019

FINDING THAT THERE ARE NO UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS THAT ARE
REASONABLE TO MEET IN THE CITY OF LIVE OAK

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit
needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on
August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service
variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not
adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county
Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are
likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and
training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services,
personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs
and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range
Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary
Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities
Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the
definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential
ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Live Oak identified transit needs considered in its transportation
planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Yuba and Sutter
Counties at the Yuba County Government Center (Marysville) on October 30, 2018, and before
the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and
identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are
reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Sutter-Yuba County Social Service Transportation Advisory
Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and
recommendation.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of Live Oak.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samaya, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sandefur
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 12 – 2019

FINDING THAT THERE ARE NO UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS THAT ARE REASONABLE TO MEET IN THE CITY OF MARYSVILLE

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Marysville identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Yuba and Sutter Counties at the Yuba County Government Center (Marysville) on October 30, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Sutter-Yuba County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of Marysville.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samaya, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 13 – 2019

FINDING THAT THERE ARE UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS THAT ARE REASONABLE TO MEET IN THE CITY OF RANCHO CORDOVA AS PART OF THE SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Rancho Cordova identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Sacramento County at the Galt City Council Chamber on October 17, 2018, at the Sacramento Regional Transit District Auditorium on October 22, 2018, at the Elk Grove City Council Chambers on October 23, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and
WHEREAS, the Sacramento County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of Rancho Cordova as part of the Sacramento Regional Transit District

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samayoa, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander  
Chair

James Corless  
Executive Director
SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 15 – 2019

FINDING THAT THERE ARE NO UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS THAT ARE REASONABLE TO MEET IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY OUTSIDE OF THE SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the County of Sacramento identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Sacramento County at the Galt City Council Chamber on October 17, 2018, at the Sacramento Regional Transit District Auditorium on October 22, 2018, at the Elk Grove City Council Chambers on October 23, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and
WHEREAS, the Sacramento County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the Unincorporated Areas of the County of Sacramento outside of the Sacramento Regional Transit District.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samayoa, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 16 – 2019

FINDING THAT THERE ARE NO UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS THAT ARE REASONABLE TO MEET IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF THE COUNTY OF SUTTER

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the County of Sutter identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Yuba and Sutter Counties at the Yuba County Government Center (Marysville) on October 30, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Sutter-Yuba County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the Unincorporated area of the County of Sutter.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samayoa, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 17–2019

FINDING THAT THERE ARE NO UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS THAT ARE REASONABLE TO MEET IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF YOLO COUNTY

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Yolo County at the Yolo County Transportation District Board Room on November 7, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the Unincorporated Areas of the County of Yolo.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samaya, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 18 – 2019

FINDING THAT THERE ARE NO UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS THAT ARE REASONABLE TO MEET IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF THE COUNTY OF YUBA

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the County of Yuba identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Yuba and Sutter Counties at the Yuba County Government Center (Marysville) on October 30, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Sutter-Yuba County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the Unincorporated Areas of the County of Yuba.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samaya, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 19 – 2019

FINDING THAT THERE ARE NO UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS THAT ARE REASONABLE TO MEET IN THE CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of West Sacramento identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Yolo County at the Yolo County Transportation District Board Room on November 7, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of West Sacramento.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samayoa, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Wheatland identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Yuba and Sutter Counties at the Yuba County Government Center (Marysville) on October 30, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Sutter-Yuba County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs in the City of Wheatland.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samayoa, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Winters identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Yolo County at the Yolo County Transportation District Board Room on November 7, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs in the City of Winters.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samayoa, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
FINDING THAT THERE ARE NO UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS THAT ARE REASONABLE TO MEET IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Woodland identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Yolo County at the Yolo County Transportation District Board Room on November 7, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of Woodland.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samayoa, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director
WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments has defined “unmet transit needs” and “reasonable to meet” in its Unmet Transit Needs Process and Definitions adopted on August 20, 2015; and

WHEREAS, “unmet transit needs” are defined as “existing transit services or service variants, including services where transfers may be necessary to complete a trip, that are not adequately meeting the identified transportation needs of residents of the SACOG four-county Regional Transportation Planning Area (Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties) who are likely to use public transportation. This includes, but is not limited to: trips for education and training (excluding exclusive school bus transportation), employment, healthcare services, personal business, recreation, and social services”; those needs identified as unmet transit needs and have been considered as part of the transportation planning process; i.e., in Short Range Transit Plans, special transit studies, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Complementary Paratransit Service Plans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS), and that have not been implemented or funded; and

WHEREAS, unmet transit needs that are “reasonable to meet” are defined as meeting the definition above and all of the following criteria: community acceptance, equity, potential ridership, cost effectiveness, funding and feasibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Yuba City identified transit needs considered in its transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, public hearings on transit needs were held by SACOG for Yuba and Sutter Counties at the Yuba County Government Center (Marysville) on October 30, 2018, and before the SACOG Board of Directors on January 17, 2019; and

WHEREAS, transit needs considered in the transportation planning process and identified from the public hearing testimony have been analyzed to determine whether they are reasonable to meet using the SACOG adopted criteria, as detailed in the attached staff report; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Sutter-Yuba County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council participated in the identification of transit needs and concurs with the staff analysis and recommendation.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SACOG Board of Directors finds that:

There are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of Yuba City.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 21st day of February 2019, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES: Directors Allard, Douglass, Flores, Frost, Gayaldo, Holmes (for Gore), Harris, Jennings, Joiner, Kennedy, Kozlowski, Lozano, Neu, Onderko, Peters, Samayoa, Saragosa, Slowey, Spokely, Rodriguez (for Stallard), Suen, Tica, Vasquez, Veerkamp, West, Vice Chair Frerichs and Chair Snader

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Directors Cabaldon, Jankovitz, Saylor and Schenirer

David Sander
Chair

James Corless
Executive Director