



**Item #17-2-6
Consent**

SACOG Board of Directors

February 9, 2017

Approve Local Transportation Fund Findings of Apportionment for Fiscal Year 2017/18

Issue: Review Fiscal Year 2017/18 Local Transportation Fund (LTF) Findings of Apportionment for Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties.

Recommendation: The Government Relations & Public Affairs Committee unanimously recommends that the Board approve the Findings of Apportionment for Local Transportation Funds for Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties for Fiscal Year 2017/18.

Committee Action/Discussion: The Transportation Development Act (TDA) requires the Regional Transportation Planning Agency to adopt, prior to March 1 of each year, the annual Findings of Apportionment, which allocate ¼ percent of sales tax revenue to eligible local agencies. The process requires each of the County Auditor-Controllers to submit their estimate for the ending Local Transportation Fund (LTF) balance for the current fiscal year (in this case FY 2016/17) plus their estimate of sales tax revenue available to the TDA fund within their county for the next fiscal year (in this case FY 2017/18). The total LTF amount available for apportionment in FY 2017/18, including carryover funds and excluding administration costs, by the four County Auditor-Controllers is approximately \$74.19 million.

TDA statute allows SACOG and each of the member counties to claim a portion of the LTF revenue for administrative fees, which are shown in the attached spreadsheets. In FY 2016/17, the administrative fee was 1.117 percent and the planning fee was 3 percent; these rates have been maintained in FY 2017/18.

The attached FY 2017/18 Findings of Apportionment indicates the amount of LTF funds each claimant is eligible to receive. In accordance with the TDA laws, the LTF funds are apportioned on a pro-rata basis using population estimates published by the California Department of Finance for the most recent calendar year.

Approved by:

Kirk E. Trost
Interim Chief Executive Officer

KET:MC:AD:ts
Attachments

Key Staff: Matt Carpenter, Director of Transportation Services, (916) 340-6276
Erik Johnson, Manager of Policy and Administration, (916) 340-6247
Azadeh Doherty, Senior Analyst, (916) 340-6221
Barbara VaughanBechtold, Associate Analyst, (916) 340-6226

COUNTY OF YUBA
February 2017
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)
Fiscal Year 2017/18

County's Estimated June 30, 2017 Balance	\$0
Local Transportation Fund Income 2017-18	1,200,600
Less: County Administrative Costs	-750
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-13,402</u>

Balance for Allocation	<u><u>\$1,186,448</u></u>
------------------------	---------------------------

Jurisdiction	Population ^{1/}	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8 ^{2/}
Yuba County (Unincorporated)	58,403	78.92%	\$936,342	\$28,090	\$908,252
Marysville	12,073	16.31%	\$193,559	\$5,807	\$187,753
Wheatland	3,527	4.77%	\$56,546	\$1,696	\$54,850
TOTALS	74,003	100.00%	\$1,186,448	\$35,593	\$1,150,854

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, 2015

2. Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.
All or a portion may be available to the Sutter County depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

COUNTY OF YOLO
February 2017
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)
Fiscal Year 2017/18

County's Estimated June 30, 2017 Balance	-\$475,000
Local Transportation Fund Income 2017-18	9,594,024
Less: County Administrative Costs	-5,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	-101,804
	\$9,012,220
Balance for Allocation	\$9,012,220

Jurisdiction	Population ^{1/}	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8 ^{2/}
Yolo County (Unincorporated)	26,995	12.74%	\$1,148,583	\$34,458	\$1,114,126
Davis	68,254	32.22%	\$2,904,071	\$87,122	\$2,816,949
West Sacramento	51,963	24.53%	\$2,210,922	\$66,328	\$2,144,594
Winters	7,200	3.40%	\$306,346	\$9,190	\$297,155
Woodland	57,401	27.10%	\$2,442,298	\$73,269	\$2,369,029
TOTALS	211,813	100.00%	\$9,012,220	\$270,367	\$8,741,854

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, 2015

2. Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.
All or a portion may be available to the Sutter County depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

COUNTY OF SUTTER
February 2017
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)
Fiscal Year 2017/18

County's Estimated June 30, 2017 Balance	-\$456,957
Local Transportation Fund Income 2017-18	4,006,607
Less: County Administrative Costs	-2,500
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-39,622</u>
Balance for Allocation	<u><u>\$3,507,528</u></u>

Jurisdiction	Population ^{1/}	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8 ^{2/}
Sutter County (Unincorporated)	20,838	21.49%	\$753,908	\$22,617	\$731,291
Live Oak	8,331	8.59%	\$301,411	\$9,042	\$292,369
Yuba City	67,779	69.91%	\$2,452,209	\$73,566	\$2,378,643
TOTALS	96,948	100.00%	\$3,507,528	\$105,226	\$3,402,302

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, 2015

2. Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.
All or a portion may be available to the Sutter County depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
February 2017
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT AMENDMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)
Fiscal Year 2017/18

County's Estimated June 30, 2017 Balance	\$0
Local Transportation Fund Income 2017-18	\$61,175,000
Less: County Administrative Costs	-\$19,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	-\$683,113
 Balance for Allocation	 \$60,472,887

Jurisdiction	Population ^{1/}	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction	Pedestrians & Bicycles ^{2/}	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8
Sacramento County (Unincorporated)	575,928	38.87%	\$23,503,819	\$58,525	\$23,445,294	\$470,076	\$1,853,276
Citrus Heights	85,653	5.78%	\$3,495,528	\$104,866	\$3,390,662	\$69,911	\$3,320,752
Elk Grove	165,121	11.14%	\$6,738,645	\$202,159	\$6,536,485	\$134,773	\$6,401,712
Folsom	76,122	5.14%	\$3,106,565	\$93,197	\$3,013,368	\$62,131	\$2,951,237
Galt	25,061	1.69%	\$1,022,748	\$30,682	\$992,066	\$20,455	\$971,611
Isleton	840	0.06%	\$34,281	\$1,028	\$33,252	\$686	\$32,567
Rancho Cordova	70,968	4.79%	\$2,896,228	\$0	\$2,896,228	\$57,925	\$0
City of Sacramento	482,110	32.54%	\$19,675,074	\$0	\$19,675,074	\$393,501	\$0
TOTALS	1,481,803	100.00%	\$60,472,887	\$490,457	\$59,982,430	\$1,209,458	\$15,531,154

SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT and PARATRANSIT

Jurisdiction	Finding of Apportionment	Finding of Apportionment Less Ped & Bicycle	% of Population Within SRTD District	Allocation of Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Amount Available To Paratransit, Inc.	Amount Available To SRTD
Sacramento County (Unincorporated)	\$23,503,819	\$23,033,742	91.7%	\$21,121,942	\$646,590	\$1,056,097	\$19,419,255
Rancho Cordova	\$2,896,228	\$2,838,304	100%	\$2,838,304	\$86,887	\$141,915	\$2,609,502
City of Sacramento	\$19,675,074	\$19,281,573	100%	\$19,281,573	\$590,252	\$964,079	\$17,727,242
TOTALS	\$46,075,121	\$45,153,619		\$43,241,818	\$1,323,729	\$2,162,091	\$39,755,998

Regional Transit	\$39,755,998
Paratransit	\$2,162,091
SACOG	\$1,814,187
Ped/Bike	\$1,209,458
Other Jurisdictions	\$15,531,154
Total	\$60,472,887

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, 2015

2. Amount available to jurisdictions for pedestrian and bicycle purposes (Article 3, Section 99233.3)