



Subject: Civic Lab Update

<input type="checkbox"/> Action	<input type="checkbox"/> Consent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information	<input type="checkbox"/> R&F	<input type="checkbox"/> Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Workshop
Prepared by: Raef Porter			Approved by: Kacey Lizon		
Attachments: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N					

- 1. Issue:** SACOG has conducted three Civic Lab workshops, and is finalizing the calendar for the remaining sessions.
- 2. Recommendation:** None. This item is for information only. Please note that this item is going to the Transportation Committee meeting for action. Comments made at the Land Use & Natural Resources Committee meeting will be shared at the Transportation meeting prior to any action being taken.
- 3. Background:** SACOG selected and began working with the nine Civic Lab teams in September. The attached document provides an overview of the teams and the mobility problems they have identified.
- 4. Discussion/Analysis:** The full-day Civic Lab workshop sessions with all teams began in October, with additional workshops held in December and January. Workshops included:

October: Speakers from UC Davis Institute for Transportation Studies talking about autonomous, shared, and electric modes of transportation. The workshop also included a team-based work session on goal-setting.

December: A facilitated day of discussion and exercises focused on a deeper understanding of the problem, and creating a project charter to address that problem.

January: A panel on formulating a pilot, innovative methods for procurement, involving vendors in the scoping process, and joint development of projects. The second half of the day focused on moving from the team charter to an early call for proposals.

The next Civic Lab workshop is scheduled for February 14th. The workshop will include a session on how to evaluate a pilot project, and a half-day vendor showcase where teams will have the opportunity to talk with various vendors working on mobility solutions. This will be where teams begin to change the focus from a mobility challenge to solutions. Subsequent workshops will move more toward solutions, and begin to lay out the plan to launch a pilot.

5. Fiscal Impact/Grant Information: There is no fiscal impact at this time.

ATTACHMENTS:

Attachment - Final Projects 2017

	Lead Agency	Identified Partners	Issue
Final Projects	Sacramento State University	City of Sacramento, Sacramento RT	How do you move students from 65th LRT station to campus, is there an opportunity for an AV shuttle pilot project?
	El Dorado County	El Dorado County Transit, Apple Hill Growers	How can you solve congestion at Apple Hill?
	Yuba Sutter Transit	LGC Civic Spark	Is there a residential TDM solution for suburban and rural areas?
	Sacramento AQMD, and SMUD	Historic Monterey Trail District	How to provide ZEV solutions in disadvantaged communities
	Yolo County Transportation District	City of Woodland, Yolo County, UC Davis Planning	How do you site mobility hubs that take advantage of disruptive technologies, and fit within the fabric of a city?
	Citrus Heights, City of Folsom, City of Rancho Cordova, and Sacramento County	Sacramento RT, TMAs, Greater Folsom Partnership, Los Rios CCD	Are there new and better solutions to providing transit access in suburban areas?
	Elk Grove Unified School District, Paratransit	North State BIA	How can you move youth to jobs?
	City of Davis	UC Davis, Capitol Corridor JPA, Unitrans	Are there new mobility solutions that can reduce parking impacts at the Amtrak station?
	Yolo County Transportation District	Yolo County, Knights Landing CSD, Dunnigan CSD	Are there new mobility solutions that can help provide better transit service in rural areas?