

State ATP Criteria for Active Transportation Program

HANDOUT, RPP Item # 6, 2/26/14

Criteria	Criteria Description from 1/29/14 State Draft Guidelines	State Point Scale (min - max)
Increasing walking and bicycling	Potential for increased walking and bicycling, especially among students, including the identification of walking and cycling routes to and from schools, transit facilities, community centers, employment centers, and other destinations; and including increasing and improving connectivity and mobility of non-motorized users.	0 - 30
Reducing bike/ped fatalities and injuries	Potential for reducing the number and/or rate of pedestrian and bicyclist fatalities and injuries, including the identification of safety hazards for pedestrians and bicyclists.	0 - 25
Public participation and planning	<p>Identification of the community-based public participation process that culminated in the project proposal, which may include noticed meetings and consultation with local stakeholders. Project applicants must clearly articulate how the local participation process resulted in the identification and prioritization of the proposed project.</p> <p>For projects costing \$1 million or more, an emphasis will be placed on projects that are prioritized in an adopted city or county bicycle transportation plan, pursuant to Section 891.2, pedestrian plan, safe routes to school plan, active transportation plan, trail plan, or circulation element of a general plan that incorporated elements of an active transportation plan. In future funding cycles, the Commission expects to make consistency with an approved active transportation plan a requirement for large projects.</p>	0 - 15
Cost-effectiveness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Discuss the relative costs and benefits of the range of alternatives considered. - Quantify the safety and mobility benefit in relationship to both the total project cost and the funds provided. 	0 - 10
Improved public health	Improved public health through the targeting of populations with high risk factors for obesity, physical inactivity, asthma or other health issues.	0 - 10
Benefit to disadvantaged communities	Benefit to disadvantaged communities.	0 - 10
California Conservation Corps (CCC)	<p>Use of the California Conservation Corps or a qualified community conservation corps, as defined in Section 14507 of the Public Resources Code, as partners to undertake or construct applicable projects in accordance with Section of Public Law 112-141. Points will be deducted if an applicant does not seek corps participation or if an applicant intends not to utilize a corps in a project in which the corps can participate.</p> <p>Direct contracting with the California Conservation Corps or a qualified community conservation corps without bidding is permissible provided that the implementing agency demonstrates cost effectiveness per 23 CFR 635.204 and obtains approval from Caltrans. A copy of the agreement between the implementing agency and the proposed conservation corps must be included in the project application as supporting documentation.</p>	-5 - 0 (point deduction)
Performance on past grants	Applicant's performance on past grants. This may include project delivery, project benefits (anticipated v. actual), and use of the California Conservation Corps or qualified community conservation corps (planned v. actual). Applications from agencies with documented poor performance records on past grants may be excluded from competing or may be penalized in scoring.	-10 - 0 (point deduction)

Total Point Range -15 to 100