



**Government Relations and Public  
Affairs Committee**

**Meeting Date:** 3/5/2018

**Agenda Item No.:** 2018-March-5.

**Subject:** Local Transportation Funding (LTF) Findings of Apportionment for Fiscal Year 2018/19

**Information:**

**Prepared by:** Caroline Payne

**Approved by:** Erik Johnson

**Attachments:** Yes

**1. Issue:**

Should the Board approve Fiscal Year 2018/19 Local Transportation Fund (LTF) Findings of Apportionment for Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo, and Yuba counties?

**2. Recommendation:**

None, this is for information only. The Transportation Committee is taking action on this item.

**3. Background/Analysis:**

The Transportation Development Act (TDA) requires the Regional Transportation Planning Agency, during March of each year, to adopt the annual Findings of Apportionment, which allocate ¼ percent of sales tax revenue to eligible local agencies. The process requires each of the County Auditor-Controllers to submit their estimate for the ending Local Transportation Fund (LTF) balance for the current fiscal year (in this case FY 2017/18), plus their estimate of sales tax revenue available to the TDA fund within their county for the next fiscal year (in this case FY 2018/19).

**4. Discussion/Analysis:**

The total LTF amount available for apportionment in FY 2018/19, including carryover funds and excluding administration costs, as estimated by the four County Auditor-Controllers is approximately \$78.8 million.

The attached FY 2018/19 Findings of Apportionment (Attachment A) indicates the amount of LTF funds each claimant is eligible to receive. In accordance with TDA laws, the LTF funds are apportioned on a pro-rata basis using population estimates published by the California Department of Finance for the most recent calendar year.

**5. Fiscal Impact/Grant Information:**

TDA statute allows SACOG and each of the member counties to claim a portion of the LTF revenue for administrative fees, which are shown in the attached spreadsheets. In FY 2017/18, the administrative fee was 1.117 percent and the planning fee was 3 percent. These rates have been maintained in FY 2018/19. The Findings of Apportionment for that fiscal year will provide \$3,254,114 for SACOG's operating budget.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

Description

Attachement A - Fiscal Year 2018-19 LTF Allocation

**COUNTY OF YUBA**  
**February 2018**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)**  
**Fiscal Year 2018/19**

County's Estimated June 30, 2018 Balance	\$210,778
Local Transportation Fund Income 2018-19	1,375,400
Less: County Administrative Costs	-750
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-17,709</u>

Balance for Allocation	<u><u>\$1,567,719</u></u>
------------------------	---------------------------

Jurisdiction	Population <sup>1/</sup>	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8 <sup>2/</sup>
<b>Yuba County (Unincorporated)</b>	59,095	79.24%	\$1,242,264	\$37,268	\$1,204,996
<b>Marysville</b>	11,973	16.05%	\$251,690	\$7,551	\$244,139
<b>Wheatland</b>	3,509	4.71%	\$73,764	\$2,213	\$71,551
<b>TOTALS</b>	74,577	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$1,567,719</b>	\$47,032	\$1,520,687

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, May 1,2017

2. Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

All or a portion may be available to the Sutter County depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

**COUNTY OF YOLO**  
**February 2018**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)**  
**Fiscal Year 2018/19**

County's Estimated June 30, 2018 Balance	\$290,000
Local Transportation Fund Income 2018-19	9,613,664
Less: County Administrative Costs	-5,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-110,568</u>
Balance for Allocation	<u><u>\$9,788,096</u></u>

Jurisdiction	Population <sup>1/</sup>	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8 <sup>2/</sup>
Yolo County (Unincorporated)	30,122	13.76%	\$1,346,927	\$40,408	\$1,306,520
Davis	68,740	31.40%	\$3,073,760	\$92,213	\$2,981,547
West Sacramento	53,163	24.29%	\$2,377,223	\$71,317	\$2,305,906
Winters	7,255	3.31%	\$324,413	\$9,732	\$314,680
Woodland	59,616	27.23%	\$2,665,773	\$79,973	\$2,585,800
<b>TOTALS</b>	218,896	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$9,788,096</b>	\$293,643	\$9,494,453

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, May 1,2017

2. Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

All or a portion may be available to the Sutter County depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

**COUNTY OF SUTTER**  
**February 2018**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)**  
**Fiscal Year 2018/19**

County's Estimated June 30, 2018 Balance	\$428,481
Local Transportation Fund Income 2018-19	4,298,785
Less: County Administrative Costs	-2,500
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-52,776</u>

Balance for Allocation	<u><u>\$4,671,990</u></u>
------------------------	---------------------------

Jurisdiction	Population <sup>1/</sup>	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8 <sup>2/</sup>
<b>Sutter County (Unincorporated)</b>	20,875	21.53%	\$1,005,898	\$30,177	\$975,721
<b>Live Oak</b>	8,636	8.91%	\$416,140	\$12,484	\$403,656
<b>Yuba City</b>	67,445	69.56%	3,249,952	97,499	\$3,152,453
<b>TOTALS</b>	96,956	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$4,671,990</b>	\$140,160	\$4,531,830

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, May 1,2017

2. Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

All or a portion may be available to the Sutter County depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

**COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**  
**February 2018**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT AMENDMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)**  
**Fiscal Year 2018/19**

County's Estimated June 30, 2018 Balance	\$0
Local Transportation Fund Income 2018-19	\$63,499,650
Less: County Administrative Costs	-\$19,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	-\$709,079

Balance for Allocation	<b>\$62,771,571</b>
------------------------	---------------------

Jurisdiction	Population <sup>1/</sup>	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction	Pedestrians & Bicycles <sup>2/</sup>	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8
Sacramento County (Unincorporated)	584,729	38.60%	\$24,230,978	\$34,893	\$24,196,085	\$484,620	\$1,104,933
Citrus Heights	87,013	5.74%	\$3,605,790	\$108,174	\$3,497,616	\$72,116	\$3,425,501
Elk Grove	171,059	11.29%	\$7,088,629	\$212,659	\$6,875,970	\$141,773	\$6,734,197
Folsom	78,525	5.18%	\$3,254,050	\$97,622	\$3,156,429	\$65,081	\$3,091,348
Galt	25,693	1.70%	\$1,064,709	\$31,941	\$1,032,768	\$21,294	\$1,011,474
Isleton	854	0.06%	\$35,389	\$1,062	\$34,328	\$708	\$33,620
Rancho Cordova	73,872	4.88%	\$3,061,231	\$0	\$3,061,231	\$61,225	\$0
City of Sacramento	493,025	32.55%	\$20,430,794	\$0	\$20,430,794	\$408,616	\$0
<b>TOTALS</b>	1,514,770	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$62,771,571</b>	\$486,350	\$62,285,221	\$1,255,431	\$15,401,072

**SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT and PARATRANSIT**

Jurisdiction	Finding of Apportionment	Finding of Apportionment Less Ped & Bicycle	% of Population Within SRTD District	Allocation of Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Amount Available To Paratransit, Inc.	Amount Available To SRTD
Sacramento County (Unincorporated)	\$24,230,978	\$23,746,358	95.2%	\$22,606,533	\$692,037	\$1,130,327	\$20,784,170
Rancho Cordova	\$3,061,231	\$3,000,007	100%	\$3,000,007	\$91,837	\$150,000	\$2,758,170
City of Sacramento	\$20,430,794	\$20,022,178	100%	\$20,022,178	\$612,924	\$1,001,109	\$18,408,145
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$47,723,003</b>	<b>\$46,768,543</b>		<b>\$45,628,718</b>	<b>\$1,396,797</b>	<b>\$2,281,436</b>	<b>\$41,950,484</b>

Regional Transit	\$41,950,484
Paratransit	\$2,281,436
SACOG	\$1,883,147
Ped/Bike	\$1,255,431
Other Jurisdictions	\$15,401,072
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$62,771,571</b>

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, 2015

2. Amount available to jurisdictions for pedestrian and bicycle purposes (Article 3, Section 99233.3)