



Transportation Committee
Meeting Date: 3/1/2018
Agenda Item No.: 2018-March-4.

Subject: Local Transportation Funding (LTF) Findings of Apportionment For Fiscal Year 2018/19

Action:

Prepared by: Caroline Payne

Approved by: Matt Carpenter

Attachments: Yes

1. Issue:

Should the Board approve Fiscal Year 2018/19 Local Transportation Fund (LTF) Findings of Apportionment for Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo, and Yuba counties?

2. Recommendation:

That the Transportation Committee recommend that the SACOG Board approve the Findings of Apportionment for Local Transportation Funds for Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo, and Yuba Counties for Fiscal Year 2018/19.

3. Background/Analysis:

The Transportation Development Act (TDA) requires the Regional Transportation Planning Agency, during March of each year, to adopt the annual Findings of Apportionment, which allocate ¼ percent of sales tax revenue to eligible local agencies. The process requires each of the County Auditor-Controllers to submit their estimate for the ending Local Transportation Fund (LTF) balance for the current fiscal year (in this case FY 2017/18), plus their estimate of sales tax revenue available to the TDA fund within their county for the next fiscal year (in this case FY 2018/19).

4. Discussion/Analysis:

The total LTF amount available for apportionment in FY 2018/19, including carryover funds and excluding administration costs, as estimated by the four County Auditor-Controllers is approximately \$78.8 million.

The attached FY 2018/19 Findings of Apportionment (Attachment A) indicates the amount of LTF funds each claimant is eligible to receive. In accordance with TDA laws, the LTF funds are apportioned on a pro-rata basis using population estimates published by the California Department of Finance for the most recent calendar year.

5. Fiscal Impact/Grant Information:

TDA statute allows SACOG and each of the member counties to claim a portion of the LTF revenue for administrative fees, which are shown in the attached spreadsheets. In FY 2017/18, the administrative fee was 1.117 percent and the planning fee was 3 percent. These rates have been maintained in FY 2018/19. The Findings of Apportionment for that fiscal year will provide \$3,254,114 for SACOG's operating budget.

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

Fiscal Year 2018-19 LTF Allocation

COUNTY OF YUBA
February 2018
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)
Fiscal Year 2018/19

County's Estimated June 30, 2018 Balance	\$210,778
Local Transportation Fund Income 2018-19	1,375,400
Less: County Administrative Costs	-750
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-17,709</u>
 Balance for Allocation	 <u><u>\$1,567,719</u></u>

Jurisdiction	Population ^{1/}	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8 ^{2/}
Yuba County (Unincorporated)	59,095	79.24%	\$1,242,264	\$37,268	\$1,204,996
Marysville	11,973	16.05%	\$251,690	\$7,551	\$244,139
Wheatland	3,509	4.71%	\$73,764	\$2,213	\$71,551
TOTALS	74,577	100.00%	\$1,567,719	\$47,032	\$1,520,687

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, May 1,2017

2. Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.
All or a portion may be available to the Sutter County depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

COUNTY OF YOLO
February 2018
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)
Fiscal Year 2018/19

County's Estimated June 30, 2018 Balance	\$290,000
Local Transportation Fund Income 2018-19	9,613,664
Less: County Administrative Costs	-5,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-110,568</u>
Balance for Allocation	<u><u>\$9,788,096</u></u>

Jurisdiction	Population ^{1/}	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8 ^{2/}
Yolo County (Unincorporated)	30,122	13.76%	\$1,346,927	\$40,408	\$1,306,520
Davis	68,740	31.40%	\$3,073,760	\$92,213	\$2,981,547
West Sacramento	53,163	24.29%	\$2,377,223	\$71,317	\$2,305,906
Winters	7,255	3.31%	\$324,413	\$9,732	\$314,680
Woodland	59,616	27.23%	\$2,665,773	\$79,973	\$2,585,800
TOTALS	218,896	100.00%	\$9,788,096	\$293,643	\$9,494,453

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, May 1,2017

2. Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.
All or a portion may be available to the Sutter County depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

COUNTY OF SUTTER
February 2018
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)
Fiscal Year 2018/19

County's Estimated June 30, 2018 Balance	\$428,481
Local Transportation Fund Income 2018-19	4,298,785
Less: County Administrative Costs	-2,500
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-52,776</u>
 Balance for Allocation	 <u><u>\$4,671,990</u></u>

Jurisdiction	Population ^{1/}	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8 ^{2/}
Sutter County (Unincorporated)	20,875	21.53%	\$1,005,898	\$30,177	\$975,721
Live Oak	8,636	8.91%	\$416,140	\$12,484	\$403,656
Yuba City	67,445	69.56%	3,249,952	97,499	\$3,152,453
TOTALS	96,956	100.00%	\$4,671,990	\$140,160	\$4,531,830

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, May 1,2017

2. Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.
All or a portion may be available to the Sutter County depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
February 2018
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT AMENDMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)
Fiscal Year 2018/19

County's Estimated June 30, 2018 Balance	\$0
Local Transportation Fund Income 2018-19	\$63,499,650
Less: County Administrative Costs	-\$19,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	-\$709,079

Balance for Allocation	\$62,771,571
------------------------	---------------------

Jurisdiction	Population ^{1/}	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction	Pedestrians & Bicycles ^{2/}	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8
Sacramento County (Unincorporated)	584,729	38.60%	\$24,230,978	\$34,893	\$24,196,085	\$484,620	\$1,104,933
Citrus Heights	87,013	5.74%	\$3,605,790	\$108,174	\$3,497,616	\$72,116	\$3,425,501
Elk Grove	171,059	11.29%	\$7,088,629	\$212,659	\$6,875,970	\$141,773	\$6,734,197
Folsom	78,525	5.18%	\$3,254,050	\$97,622	\$3,156,429	\$65,081	\$3,091,348
Galt	25,693	1.70%	\$1,064,709	\$31,941	\$1,032,768	\$21,294	\$1,011,474
Isleton	854	0.06%	\$35,389	\$1,062	\$34,328	\$708	\$33,620
Rancho Cordova	73,872	4.88%	\$3,061,231	\$0	\$3,061,231	\$61,225	\$0
City of Sacramento	493,025	32.55%	\$20,430,794	\$0	\$20,430,794	\$408,616	\$0
TOTALS	1,514,770	100.00%	\$62,771,571	\$486,350	\$62,285,221	\$1,255,431	\$15,401,072

SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT and PARATRANSIT

Jurisdiction	Finding of Apportionment	Finding of Apportionment Less Ped & Bicycle	% of Population Within SRTD District	Allocation of Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Amount Available To Paratransit, Inc.	Amount Available To SRTD
Sacramento County (Unincorporated)	\$24,230,978	\$23,746,358	95.2%	\$22,606,533	\$692,037	\$1,130,327	\$20,784,170
Rancho Cordova	\$3,061,231	\$3,000,007	100%	\$3,000,007	\$91,837	\$150,000	\$2,758,170
City of Sacramento	\$20,430,794	\$20,022,178	100%	\$20,022,178	\$612,924	\$1,001,109	\$18,408,145
TOTALS	\$47,723,003	\$46,768,543		\$45,628,718	\$1,396,797	\$2,281,436	\$41,950,484

Regional Transit	\$41,950,484
Paratransit	\$2,281,436
SACOG	\$1,883,147
Ped/Bike	\$1,255,431
Other Jurisdictions	\$15,401,072
Total	\$62,771,571

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, 2015

2. Amount available to jurisdictions for pedestrian and bicycle purposes (Article 3, Section 99233.3)