



Government Relations & Public Affairs Committee

March 27, 2015

Consideration of AB 652 – State Highway Route 16 Relinquishment

Issue: Should SACOG support AB 652, which would relinquish a portion of Highway 16 to the County of Sacramento?

Recommendation: That the Government Relations & Public Affairs Committee recommend that the Board support AB 652 and authorize the CEO to support any amendments agreed to by member jurisdictions along the corridor.

Discussion: Over the past several years, the City of Sacramento and County of Sacramento have been seeking relinquishment from the State to the two local agencies for a portion of Highway 16 in order to accommodate growth in the corridor. Last year, the Governor signed AB 1957 (Dickinson), which authorized the California Transportation Commission to relinquish the portion from within the city limits and east to Watt Avenue. SACOG supported AB 1957.

AB 652 (Cooley) would further authorize relinquishment of the segment from Watt Avenue to Grant Line Road. The jurisdictions along the corridor within the County of Sacramento are working on potential modifications to the authorization to coordinate land use and transportation plans. The County of Sacramento is also working with the County of Amador, and Caltrans Districts 3 and 10 to address potential impacts to the portion of Highway 16 east of the proposed relinquishment. Approximately 55,000 new dwelling units and 19 million square feet of commercial space are planned, which would transform the rural nature of the state highway to an urban arterial. Local control of this corridor would allow for improved roadway design, including complete streets and signalization, which would be more appropriate for the urbanizing area.

AB 652 is sponsored by the County of Sacramento, and is supported by the Metro Chamber. There are no opponents on record, although Amador County Transportation Commission has expressed concern in the past about increased travel times by lowering speed limits or signalization. The bill is before the Assembly Transportation Committee, and a hearing is scheduled for April 6, 2015.

Approved by:

Mike McKeever
Chief Executive Officer

Attachment

Key Staff: Erik Johnson, Manager of Policy and Administration, (916) 340-6247

ASSEMBLY BILL**No. 652**

**Introduced by Assembly Member Cooley
(Coauthors: Assembly Members Cooper and McCarty)**

February 24, 2015

An act to amend Section 316 of the Streets and Highways Code, relating to state highways.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 652, as introduced, Cooley. State Highway Route 16: relinquishment: County of Sacramento.

Existing law gives the Department of Transportation full possession and control of all state highways. Existing law describes the authorized routes in the state highway system and establishes a process for adoption of a highway on an authorized route by the California Transportation Commission. Existing law authorizes the commission to relinquish certain state highway segments to local agencies.

Existing law authorizes the commission to relinquish to the County of Sacramento the portion of State Highway Route 16 that is located within the unincorporated area of the county, east of the City of Sacramento boundary and west of Watt Avenue, under certain conditions.

This bill would extend this authorization to the portion of State Highway Route 16 that is east of the City of Sacramento boundary and west of Grant Line Road. The bill would state the intent of the Legislature in this regard.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. It is the intent of the Legislature that the County
2 of Sacramento notify and consult with the Amador County
3 Transportation Commission, the Counties of Amador, Calaveras,
4 and Alpine, the Cities of Plymouth, Amador City, Sutter Creek,
5 and Jackson, and other relevant parties about any proposed
6 relinquishment of Route 16 to the County of Sacramento as
7 provided in this act.

8 SEC. 2. Section 316 of the Streets and Highways Code is
9 amended to read:

10 316. (a) Route 16 is from:

11 (1) Route 20 to Route 5 near Woodland via Rumsey and
12 Woodland.

13 (2) Route 50 near Perkins to Route 49 near Drytown.

14 (b) Upon a determination by the commission that it is in the
15 best interests of the state to do so, the commission may, upon terms
16 and conditions approved by it, relinquish to the City of Sacramento
17 the portion of Route 16 that is located within the city limits of that
18 city if the city agrees to accept it. The following conditions shall
19 apply upon relinquishment:

20 (1) The relinquishment shall become effective on the date
21 following the county recorder’s recordation of the relinquishment
22 resolution containing the commission’s approval of the terms and
23 conditions of the relinquishment.

24 (2) On and after the effective date of the relinquishment, the
25 relinquished portion of Route 16 shall cease to be a state highway.

26 (3) The portion of Route 16 relinquished under this subdivision
27 shall be ineligible for future adoption under Section 81.

28 (4) For the portion of Route 16 relinquished under this
29 subdivision, the City of Sacramento shall apply for approval of a
30 business route designation for the relinquished portion of the
31 highway in accordance with Chapter 20, Topic 21, of the Highway
32 Design Manual.

33 (5) For the portion of Route 16 relinquished under this
34 subdivision, the City of Sacramento shall install and maintain
35 within its jurisdiction signs directing motorists to the continuation
36 of Route 16 to the east.

37 (6) The City of Sacramento shall maintain the Surface
38 Transportation Assistance Act (STAA) truck route designation for

1 the portion of Route 16 relinquished that previously held that
2 designation.

3 (c) Upon a determination by the commission that it is in the best
4 interests of the state to do so, the commission may, upon terms
5 and conditions approved by it, relinquish to the County of
6 Sacramento the portion of Route 16 that is located within the
7 unincorporated area of that county, east of the City of Sacramento
8 boundary and west of ~~Watt Avenue~~, *Grant Line Road*, if the county
9 agrees to accept it. The following conditions shall apply upon
10 relinquishment:

11 (1) The relinquishment shall become effective on the date
12 following the county recorder's recordation of the relinquishment
13 resolution containing the commission's approval of the terms and
14 conditions of the relinquishment.

15 (2) On and after the effective date of the relinquishment, the
16 relinquished portion of Route 16 shall cease to be a state highway.

17 (3) The portion of Route 16 relinquished under this subdivision
18 shall be ineligible for future adoption under Section 81.

19 (4) For the portion of Route 16 relinquished under this
20 subdivision, the County of Sacramento shall apply for approval
21 of a business route designation for the relinquished portion of the
22 highway in accordance with Chapter 20, Topic 21, of the Highway
23 Design Manual.

24 (5) For the portion of Route 16 relinquished under this
25 subdivision, the County of Sacramento shall install and maintain
26 within its jurisdiction signs directing motorists to the continuation
27 of Route 16 to the east.

28 (6) The County of Sacramento shall maintain the STAA truck
29 route designation for the portion of Route 16 relinquished that
30 previously held that designation.