



SACOG Board of Directors

September 13, 2012

Approve the Transportation Development Act Claims for the City of Citrus Heights, the City of Elk Grove, the City of Galt, the County of Sacramento, and the County of Yolo.

Issue: The Transportation Development Act (TDA) authorizes eligible cities, counties, and transit operators to receive TDA funds for transportation purposes and street and road projects. The TDA funds are made available from sales tax receipts.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Board approve this summary resolution of the TDA claims listed below.

- | | |
|--|--------------------------|
| 1. City of Citrus Heights FY 2011-12 in the amount of \$3,466,334 | Resolution No. 59 – 2012 |
| 2. City of Citrus Heights FY 2012-13 in the amount of \$3,014,601 | Resolution No. 59 – 2012 |
| 3. City of Elk Grove FY 2011-12 amendment in the amount of \$5,855,324 | Resolution No. 60 – 2012 |
| 4. City of Elk Grove FY 2012-13 in the amount of \$5,780,793 | Resolution No. 60 – 2012 |
| 5. City of Galt FY 2010-11 amendment in the amount of \$804,286 | Resolution No. 61 – 2012 |
| 6. City of Galt FY 2011-12 in the amount of \$954,516 | Resolution No. 61 – 2012 |
| 7. County of Sacramento FY 2000-01 in the amount of \$443 | Resolution No. 62 – 2012 |
| 8. County of Sacramento FY 2011-12 in the amount of \$849,089 | Resolution No. 62 – 2012 |
| 9. County of Yolo FY 2012-13 in the amount of \$1,150,947 | Resolution No. 63 – 2012 |

Committee Action/Discussion: Current Board policy calls for routine TDA allocations to cities, counties, and transit operators to come directly to the Board without review by a committee. These funds will be used as authorized by law for various purposes and in the amounts shown on the attached resolutions. The claims have been reviewed by staff and found to be in compliance with the TDA and are consistent with the Metropolitan Transportation Plan and the short-range transit plans.

Approved by:

Mike McKeever
Chief Executive Officer

MM:GT:gg
Attachments

Key Staff: Matt Carpenter, Director of Transportation Services, (916) 340-6276
Gary Taylor, Senior Planner, (916) 340-6279

cc: Belinda Chee, County of Yolo
Ed Coviello, City of Elk Grove
Kara Reddig, City of Elk Grove
Mary Poole, City of Citrus Heights
Dolores Martinez, City of Galt
Steven Winkler, P.E., City of Galt
Dan Klinker, County of Sacramento
Dan Shoeman, County of Sacramento
Kwai Reitz, Yolo County Transit District



SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

RESOLUTION NO. 59 – 2012

APPROVING THE CITY OF CITRUS HEIGHTS' TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIM FOR FY 2011-12 AND FOR FY 2012-13 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,480,935

WHEREAS, the City of Citrus Heights has amended its claim for FY 2011-12 Local Transportation Funds from the previous amount of \$2,651,493 to the new amount of \$3,007,171 and has amended its claim for FY 2011-12 State Transit Assistance Funds from the previous amount of \$483,330 to the new amount of \$459,163 under the provisions of the Transportation Development Act; and

WHEREAS, the City of Citrus Heights has submitted a claim for FY 2012-13 Local Transportation Funds of \$2,546,070 and State Transit Assistance Funds of \$468,531 under the provisions of the Transportation Development Act for the amounts and purposes as follows:

<u>Local Transportation Fund</u>		Approved: 9/20/2012	
<u>Public Utility Code</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Year of Funds</u>	<u>Amounts</u>
Article 8 – Section 99400(c)	SRTD Transit Operations	FY 2011/12	\$2,623,909
Article 3 – Section 99234	Pedestrian & Bicycle Impr	FY 2011/12	60,143
Article 8 – Section 99400(d)	Citrus Heights Contract Admin	FY 2011/12	232,904
Article 8 – Section 99402	SACOG Planning	FY 2011/12	\$90,215
Subtotal			3,007,171
Article 8 – Section 99400(c)	SRTD Transit Operations	FY 2012/13	2,180,397
Article 3 – Section 99234	Pedestrian & Bicycle Impr	FY 2012/13	50,921
Article 8 – Section 99400(d)	Citrus Heights Contract Admin	FY 2012/13	238,370
Article 8 – Section 99402	SACOG Planning	FY 2012/13	76,382
Subtotal			2,546,070
<u>State Transit Assistance Fund</u>			
Article 4 – Section 6731(b)	SRTD Transit Operations	FY 2011/12	459,163
Subtotal			459,163
Article 4 – Section 6731(b)	SRTD Transit Operations	FY 2012/13	468,531
Subtotal			468,531
Total			\$6,480,935

WHEREAS, such claim is consistent with State Law, with Guidelines adopted by the Board and with approved apportionments for FY 2011-12; and

WHEREAS, the Board Resolution No. 25-2012 on June 21, 2012, found that there are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of Citrus Heights.

WHEREAS, Section 19 of the Agreement for Bus Service between the City of Citrus Heights and Sacramento Regional Transit District (SRTD) provides for funding for the provision of transit enhancements in the City of Citrus Heights; and

WHEREAS, the City of Citrus Heights and SRTD have advised SACOG that transit enhancements in the City of Citrus Heights will be funded out of non-TDA revenue sources.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board hereby makes the following findings in accordance with Subchapter 2.5, Article 5, Section 6754 of the Transportation Development Act:

1. The City of Citrus Heights proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.
2. The level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the City of Citrus Heights to meet the fare revenue requirements of the Public Utilities Code.
3. The City of Citrus Heights is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended.
4. The sum of the City of Citrus Heights allocations from the state transit assistance fund and from the local transportation fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year.
5. Priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions in federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority area wide public transportation needs.
6. The City of Citrus Heights has made a reasonable effort to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99244.
7. The City of Citrus Heights is not precluded by any contract entered into on or after June 28, 1979 from employing part-time drivers or from contracting with common carriers of persons operating under a franchise or license.
8. The contractor, Sacramento Regional Transit District, has submitted the certification required by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1801.1 of the Vehicle Code.
9. The City of Citrus Heights is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of Public Utilities Code Section 99314.6.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board hereby approves the request for Local Transportation Funds for FY 2011-12 with the condition that none of the Local Transportation Funds provided to the City of Citrus Heights and its contract with the SRTD will be used for the purposes of Transit Enhancements as identified in Section 19 of the Agreement between Citrus Heights and the SRTD for Bus Service.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board hereby approves the request for Local Transportation Funds for FY 2011-12 in the amount of \$3,007,171 and for FY 2012-13 in the amount of \$2,546,070 and State Transit Assistance Funds for FY 2011-12 in the amount of \$459,163 and for FY2012-13 in the amount of \$468,531 for a total claim amount of \$6,480,935 and authorizes the forwarding of funds to the City of Citrus Heights in proportion to funds received from the State.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 20th day of September 2012, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

Peter Hill
Chair

Mike McKeever
Chief Executive Officer



SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

RESOLUTION NO. 60 – 2012

APPROVING THE CITY OF ELK GROVE’S TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIM FOR FY 2011-12 AND FOR FY 2012-13 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$9,617,685

WHEREAS, the City of Elk Grove has amended its claim for FY 2011-12 Local Transportation Funds from the previous amount of \$4,329,683 to the new amount of \$4,910,479 and has amended its claim for FY 2011-12 State Transit Assistance Funds from the previous amount of \$994,574 to the new amount of \$944,845 under the provisions of the Transportation Development Act; and

WHEREAS, the City of Elk Grove has submitted a claim for FY 2012-13 Local Transportation Funds of \$4,707,206 and State Transit Assistance Funds of \$1,073,587 under the provisions of the Transportation Development Act for the amounts and purposes as follows:

<u>Local Transportation Fund</u>		Approved: 9/20/2012	
<u>Public Utility Code</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Year of Funds</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Article 4 – Section 99260(a)	Transit Operations	FY 2011/12	\$4,105,225
Article 4 – Section 99260(a)	FY2008-09 Fare Recovery Penalty Reclaimed	FY 2011/12	344,583
Article 8 – Section 99400(c)	SRTD Transit Operations	FY 2011/12	215,147
Article 3 – Section 99234	Pedestrian & Bicycle Impr	FY 2011/12	98,210
Article 4 – Section 99262	SACOG Planning	FY 2011/12	147,314
Subtotal			4,910,479
	Transit Operations	FY 2012/13	4,256,699
Article 4 – Section 99260(a)			
Article 8 – Section 99400(c)	SRTD Transit Operations	FY 2012/13	215,147
Article 3 – Section 99234	Pedestrian & Bicycle Impr	FY 2012/13	94,144
Article 4 – Section 99262	SACOG Planning	FY 2012/13	141,216
Subtotal			4,707,206
<u>State Transit Assistance Fund</u>			
Article 4 – Sub Chapter 2.5 6730(a)	Transit Operations	FY 2011/12	790,057
Article 4 – Sub Chapter 2.5 6730(b)	Three CNG Replacement Buses	FY 2011/12	154,788
Subtotal			944,845
Article 4 – Sub Chapter 2.5 6730(a)	Transit Operations	FY 2012/13	1,073,587
Subtotal			1,073,587
<u>Total</u>			\$11,636,117

WHEREAS, such claim is consistent with State Law, with Guidelines adopted by the Board and with approved apportionments for FY 2011-12; and

WHEREAS, the Board Resolution No. 27-2012 on June 21, 2012, found that there are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of Elk Grove.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board hereby makes the following findings in accordance with Subchapter 2.5, Article 5, Section 6754 of the Transportation Development Act:

1. The City of Elk Grove's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.
2. The level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the City of Elk Grove to meet the fare revenue requirements of the Public Utilities Code.
3. The City of Elk Grove is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended.
4. The sum of the City of Elk Grove's allocations from the state transit assistance fund and from the local transportation fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year.
5. Priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions in federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority area wide public transportation needs.
6. The City of Elk Grove has made a reasonable effort to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99244.
7. The City of Elk Grove is not precluded by any contract entered into on or after June 28, 1979 from employing part-time drivers or from contracting with common carriers of persons operating under a franchise or license.
8. The City of Elk Grove has submitted the certification required by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1801.1 of the Vehicle Code.
9. The City of Elk Grove is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of Public Utilities Code Section 99314.6.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board hereby approves the request for Local Transportation Funds for FY 2011-12 in the amount of \$4,910,479 and for FY 2012-13 in the amount of \$4,707,206 and State Transit Assistance Funds for FY 2011-12 in the amount of \$944,845 and for FY2012-13 in the amount of \$1,073,587 for a total claim amount of \$11,636,117 and authorizes the forwarding of funds to the City of Elk Grove in proportion to funds received from the State.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 20th day of September 2012 by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

Peter Hill
Chair

Mike McKeever
Chief Executive Officer



SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

RESOLUTION NO. 61 – 2012

APPROVING THE CITY OF GALT’S TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIM FOR FY 2010-11 AND FOR FY 2011-12 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,758,802

WHEREAS, the City of Galt has amended its claim for FY 2010-11 Local Transportation Funds of the total amount \$678,246 and has amended its claim for FY 2010-11 State Transit Assistance Funds of the total amount of \$126,040 under the provisions of the Transportation Development Act; and

WHEREAS, the City of Galt has submitted a new claim for FY 2011-12 Local Transportation Funds of \$828,077 and State Transit Assistance Funds of \$126,439 under the provisions of the Transportation Development Act for the amounts and purposes as follows:

<u>Local Transportation Fund</u>		Approved: 9/20/2012	
<u>Public Utility Code</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Year of Funds</u>	<u>Amounts</u>
Article 8 – Section 99400(c)	SCTLink Transit Operations	FY 2010/11	\$ 317,310
Article 8 – Section 99400(d)	Administration & Marketing	FY 2010/11	160,568
Article 8 – Section 99400(a)	Streets & Roads Maintenance	FY 2010/11	166,456
Article 3 – Section 99234	Pedestrian & Bicycle Impr	FY 2010/11	13,565
Article 8 – Section 99402	SACOG Planning	FY 2010/11	20,347
Subtotal			678,246
Article 8 – Section 99400(c)	SCTLink Transit Operations	FY 2011/12	786,673
Article 3 – Section 99234	Pedestrian & Bicycle Impr	FY 2011/12	16,562
Article 8 – Section 99402	SACOG Planning	FY 2011/12	24,842
Subtotal			828,077
<u>State Transit Assistance Fund</u>			
Article 4 – Sub Chapter 2.5 6730(b)	Purchase Buses	FY 2010/11	126,040
Subtotal			126,040
Article 4 – Sub Chapter 2.5 6730(b)	Purchase Buses	FY 2011/12	126,439
Subtotal			126,439
Total			\$1,758,802

WHEREAS, such claim is consistent with State Law, with Guidelines adopted by the Board and with approved apportionments for FY 2011-12; and

WHEREAS, the Board Resolution No. 29-2012 on June 21, 2012, found that there are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the City of Galt.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board hereby makes the following findings in accordance with Subchapter 2.5, Article 5, Section 6754 of the Transportation Development Act:

1. The City of Galt's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.
2. The level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the City of Galt to meet the fare revenue requirements of the Public Utilities Code.
3. The City of Galt is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended.
4. The sum of the City of Galt's allocations from the state transit assistance fund and from the local transportation fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year.
5. Priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions in federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority area wide public transportation needs.
6. The City of Galt has made a reasonable effort to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99244.
7. The City of Galt is not precluded by any contract entered into on or after June 28, 1979 from employing part-time drivers or from contracting with common carriers of persons operating under a franchise or license.
8. The contractor, Storer Inc., has submitted the certification required by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1801.1 of the Vehicle Code.
9. The City of Galt is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of Public Utilities Code Section 99314.6.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board hereby approves the request for Local Transportation Funds for FY 2010-11 in the amount of \$678,246 and for FY 2011-12 in the amount of \$828,077 and State Transit Assistance Funds for FY 2010-11 in the amount of \$126,040 and for FY2011-12 in the amount of \$126,439 for a total claim amount of \$1,758,802 and authorizes the forwarding of funds to the City of Galt in proportion to funds received from the State.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 20th day of September 2012, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

Peter Hill
Chair

Mike McKeever
Chief Executive Officer



SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

RESOLUTION NO. 62 – 2012

APPROVING THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO’S TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIM FOR FY 2011-12 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$849,532

WHEREAS, the County of Sacramento has submitted a claim for FY 2011-12 Local Transportation Funds of \$769,532 and State Transit Assistance Funds of \$79,557 under the provisions of the Transportation Development Act; and

WHEREAS, the County of Sacramento has submitted a claim for FY 2000-01 State Transit Assistance Funds of \$443 under the provisions of the Transportation Development Act for the amounts and purposes as follows:

<u>Local Transportation Fund</u>		Approved: 9/20/2012	
<u>Public Utility Code</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Year of Funds</u>	<u>Amounts</u>
Article 8 – Section 99400(c)	SCTLink Transit Operations	FY 2011/12	\$215,821
Article 8 – Section 99400(c)	East County Transit Operations	FY 2011/12	54,069
Article 3 – Section 99234	Pedestrian & Bicycle Impr	FY 2011/12	387,487
Article 8 – Section 99400(d)	SCTLink Admin & Marketing	FY 2011/12	66,000
Article 8 – Section 99400(d)	East County Admin & Marketing	FY 2011/12	20,000
Article 8 – Section 99402	SACOG Planning	FY 2011/12	26,155
Subtotal			769,532
<u>State Transit Assistance Fund</u>			
Article 4 – Section 6731(b)	SCTLink Vehicle Replacement	FY 2000/01	443
Article 4 – Section 6731(b)	SCTLink Vehicle Replacement	FY 2011/12	79,557
Subtotal			80,000
Total			\$849,532

WHEREAS, such claim is consistent with State Law, with Guidelines adopted by the Board and with approved apportionments for FY 2011-12 and includes available State Transit Assistance funds from FY 2000-01; and

WHEREAS, the Board Resolution No. 35-2012 on June 21, 2012, found that there are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the County of Sacramento; and

WHEREAS, this claim funds the current transit services in the County of Sacramento and this finding enables the Sacramento Regional Transit District (SRTD) to apply for the remaining Local Transportation Funds in the amount of \$472,364 in FY 2011-12.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board hereby makes the following findings in accordance with Subchapter 2.5, Article 5, Section 6754 of the Transportation Development Act:

1. The County of Sacramento's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.
2. The level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the County of Sacramento to meet the fare revenue requirements of the Public Utilities Code.
3. The County of Sacramento is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended.
4. The sum of the County of Sacramento's allocations from the state transit assistance fund and from the local transportation fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year.
5. Priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions in federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority area wide public transportation needs.
6. The County of Sacramento has made a reasonable effort to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99244.
7. The County of Sacramento is not precluded by any contract entered into on or after June 28, 1979 from employing part-time drivers or from contracting with common carriers of persons operating under a franchise or license.
8. The contractor, Storer Inc., has submitted the certification required by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1801.1 of the Vehicle Code.
9. The County of Sacramento is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of Public Utilities Code Section 99314.6.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board hereby approves the request for Local Transportation Funds for FY 2011-12 in the amount of \$769,532 and State Transit Assistance Funds for FY 2011-12 in the amount of \$79,557 and FY 2000-01 in the amount of \$443 for a total claim amount of \$849,532 and authorizes the forwarding of funds to the County of Sacramento in proportion to funds received from the State.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 20th day of September 2012. by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

Peter Hill
Chair

Mike McKeever
Chief Executive Officer



SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

RESOLUTION NO. 63 – 2012

APPROVING THE COUNTY OF YOLO’S TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
CLAIM FOR FY 2012-13 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,150,947

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo has submitted a claim for FY 2012-13 Local Transportation Funds under the provisions of the Transportation Development Act in the amount of \$1,150,947 for the amounts and purposes as follows:

<u>Local Transportation Fund</u>		Approved: 9/20/2012	
<u>Public Utility Code</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Year of Funds</u>	<u>Amounts</u>
Article 8 – Section 99400(c)	FY 2012-13 Yolobus Operations	FY 2012/13	\$ 282,760
Article 8 – Section 99400(a)	Streets and Roadway Maintenance	FY 2012/13	833,659
Article 8 – Section 99402	SACOG Planning	FY 2012/13	34,528
<u>Total</u>			<u>\$1,150,947</u>

WHEREAS, such claim is consistent with State Law, with Guidelines adopted by the Board and with approved apportionments for FY 2012-13; and

WHEREAS, the Board Resolution No. 37-2012 on June 21, 2012, found that there are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in the County of Yolo.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board hereby approves the request for Local Transportation Funds for FY 2012-13 in the amount of \$1,150,947 and authorizes the forwarding of funds to the County of Yolo in proportion to funds received from the State.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, this 20th day of September 2012, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

Peter Hill
Chair

Mike McKeever
Chief Executive Officer