



**Government Relations & Public Affairs Committee**

January 29, 2016

**Approve Local Transportation Fund Findings of Apportionment for Fiscal Year 2016/17**

**Issue:** Review Fiscal Year 2016/17 Local Transportation Fund (LTF) Findings of Apportionment for Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties.

**Recommendation:** The Government Relations & Public Affairs Committee makes a recommendation to the SACOG Board to approve the Findings of Apportionment for Local Transportation Funds for Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties for Fiscal Year 2016/17.

**Discussion:** The Transportation Development Act (TDA) requires the Regional Transportation Planning Agency to adopt, prior to March 1 of each year, the annual Findings of Apportionment, which allocate ¼ percent of sales tax revenue to eligible local agencies. The process requires each of the County Auditor-Controllers to submit their estimate for the ending Local Transportation Fund (LTF) balance for the current fiscal year (in this case FY 2015/16) plus their estimate of sales tax revenue available to the TDA fund within their county for the next fiscal year (in this case FY 2016/17). The total LTF amount available for apportionment in FY 2016/17, including carryover funds and excluding administration costs, by the four County Auditor-Controllers is approximately \$72.9 million.

TDA statute allows SACOG and each of the member counties to claim a portion of the LTF revenue for administrative fees, which are shown in the attached spreadsheets. In FY 2015/16, the administrative fee was 1.117 percent and the planning fee was 3 percent; these rates have been maintained in FY 2016/17.

The attached FY 2016/17 Findings of Apportionment indicates the amount of LTF funds each claimant is eligible to receive. In accordance with the TDA laws, the LTF funds are apportioned on a pro-rata basis using population estimates published by the California Department of Finance for the most recent calendar year.

Approved by:

Mike McKeever  
Chief Executive Officer

Attachment

MM:AZ:ts

Key Staff: Matt Carpenter, Director of Transportation Services, (916) 340-6276  
Erik Johnson, Manager of Policy and Administration, (916) 340-6247  
Azadeh Doherty, Senior Analyst, (916) 340-6279  
Anthony Zepeda, Associate Analyst, (916) 340-6220

**COUNTY OF YUBA**  
**February 2016**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)**  
**Fiscal Year 2016/17**

County's Estimated June 30, 2016 Balance	-\$86,122
Local Transportation Fund Income 2016-17	1,001,000
Less: County Administrative Costs	-750
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	-10,211
	<u>                    </u>

Balance for Allocation	<u><u>\$903,917</u></u>
------------------------	-------------------------

Jurisdiction	Population <sup>1/</sup>	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8 <sup>2/</sup>
<b>Yuba County (Unincorporated)</b>	58,588	79.09%	\$714,924	\$21,448	\$693,476
<b>Marysville</b>	12,051	16.27%	\$147,053	\$4,412	\$142,642
<b>Wheatland</b>	3,437	4.64%	\$41,940	\$1,258	\$40,682
<b>TOTALS</b>	74,076	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$903,917</b>	\$27,118	\$876,800

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, 1/1/2015

2. Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

All or a portion may be available to the Sutter County depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

**COUNTY OF YOLO**  
**February 2016**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)**  
**Fiscal Year 2016/17**

County's Estimated June 30, 2016 Balance	\$603,000
Local Transportation Fund Income 2016-17	10,000,000
Less: County Administrative Costs	-5,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-118,380</u>
 Balance for Allocation	 <u><u>\$10,479,620</u></u>

Jurisdiction	Population <sup>1/</sup>	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8 <sup>2/</sup>
<b>Yolo County (Unincorporated)</b>	26,885	12.84%	\$1,345,530	\$40,366	\$1,305,164
<b>Davis</b>	66,757	31.88%	\$3,341,029	\$100,231	\$3,240,798
<b>West Sacramento</b>	51,272	24.49%	\$2,566,041	\$76,981	\$2,489,060
<b>Winters</b>	6,954	3.32%	\$348,031	\$10,441	\$337,590
<b>Woodland</b>	57,525	27.47%	\$2,878,989	\$86,370	\$2,792,619
<b>TOTALS</b>	209,393	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$10,479,620</b>	\$314,389	\$10,165,232

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, 1/1/2015

2. Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.  
All or a portion may be available to the Sutter County depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

**COUNTY OF SUTTER**  
**February 2016**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)**  
**Fiscal Year 2016/17**

County's Estimated June 30, 2016 Balance	\$418,003
Local Transportation Fund Income 2016-17	4,283,338
Less: County Administrative Costs	-2,500
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	-52,486
	<u>                    </u>

Balance for Allocation **\$4,646,355**

Jurisdiction	Population <sup>1/</sup>	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8 <sup>2/</sup>
<b>Sutter County (Unincorporated)</b>	21,039	21.93%	\$1,018,830	\$30,565	\$988,265
<b>Live Oak</b>	8,546	8.91%	\$413,847	\$12,415	\$401,431
<b>Yuba City</b>	66,363	69.17%	\$3,213,679	\$96,410	\$3,117,268
<b>TOTALS</b>	95,948	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$4,646,355</b>	\$139,930	\$4,506,964

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, 1/1/2015

2. Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.  
All or a portion may be available to the Sutter County depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

**COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**  
**February 2016**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT AMENDMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)**  
**Fiscal Year 2016/17**

County's Estimated June 30, 2016 Balance	\$0
Local Transportation Fund Income 2016-17	\$57,600,000
Less: County Administrative Costs	-\$19,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	-\$643,180

Balance for Allocation **\$56,937,820**

Jurisdiction	Population <sup>1/</sup>	% of Total Population County	Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Available to Jurisdiction	Pedestrians & Bicycles <sup>2/</sup>	Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8
<b>Sacramento County (Unincorporated)</b>	573,313	38.98%	\$22,192,485	\$55,259	\$22,137,226	\$443,850	\$1,749,877
<b>Citrus Heights</b>	85,147	5.79%	\$3,295,972	\$98,879	\$3,197,093	\$65,919	\$3,131,173
<b>Elk Grove</b>	162,899	11.07%	\$6,305,689	\$189,171	\$6,116,519	\$126,114	\$5,990,405
<b>Folsom</b>	74,909	5.09%	\$2,899,667	\$86,990	\$2,812,677	\$57,993	\$2,754,684
<b>Galt</b>	24,607	1.67%	\$952,517	\$28,576	\$923,942	\$19,050	\$904,891
<b>Isleton</b>	820	0.06%	\$31,742	\$952	\$30,789	\$635	\$30,154
<b>Rancho Cordova</b>	69,112	4.70%	\$2,675,270	\$0	\$2,675,270	\$53,505	\$0
<b>City of Sacramento</b>	480,105	32.64%	\$18,584,478	\$0	\$18,584,478	\$371,690	\$0
<b>TOTALS</b>	1,470,912	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$56,937,820</b>	\$459,827	\$56,477,993	\$1,138,756	\$14,561,185

**SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT and PARATRANSIT**

Jurisdiction	Finding of Apportionment	Finding of Apportionment Less Ped & Bicycle	% of Population Within SRTD District	Allocation of Finding of Apportionment	SACOG Planning	Amount Available To Paratransit, Inc.	Amount Available To SRTD
<b>Sacramento County (Unincorporated)</b>	\$22,192,485	\$21,748,635	91.7%	\$19,943,499	\$610,515	\$997,175	\$18,335,808
<b>Rancho Cordova</b>	\$2,675,270	\$2,621,765	100%	\$2,621,765	\$80,258	\$131,088	\$2,410,418
<b>City of Sacramento</b>	\$18,584,478	\$18,212,789	100%	\$18,212,789	\$557,534	\$910,639	\$16,744,615
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$43,452,233</b>	<b>\$42,583,189</b>		<b>\$40,778,052</b>	<b>\$1,248,308</b>	<b>\$2,038,903</b>	<b>\$37,490,842</b>

Regional Transit	\$37,490,842
Paratransit	\$2,038,903
SACOG	\$1,708,135
Ped/Bike	\$1,138,756
Other Jurisdictions	\$14,561,185
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$56,937,820</b>

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, 1/1/2015

2. Amount available to jurisdictions for pedestrian and bicycle purposes (Article 3, Section 99233.3)

### TDA Local Transportation Fund (LTF) Estimate History

Historical Total Allocations and Annual Percentage Increases by County

County	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15	2013/14	2012/13	2011/12	2010/11	2009/10	2008/09	2007/08	2002/03	2001/02	2000/01	1999/00	1998/99	1994/95	1993/94
<b>Sacramento</b>	56,937,820 4.16%	54,663,511 6.80%	51,183,495 5.67%	48,435,860 11.37%	43,491,732 -11.83%	49,325,832 22.46%	40,279,017 5.72%	38,100,863 -22.07%	48,892,626 2.81%	47,554,687 10.71%	42,955,672 -9.40%	47,410,987 18.57%	39,985,462 9.19%	36,620,709 9.14%	33,552,482 18.71%	28,264,284 6.06%	26,650,430
<b>Sutter</b>	4,646,355 8.79%	4,270,754 22.83%	3,477,082 -14.49%	4,066,105 10.56%	3,677,660 11.81%	3,289,071 -39.06%	5,397,367 143.08%	2,220,430 67.51%	1,325,557 -68.41%	4,196,163 53.39%	2,735,563 -1.25%	2,770,315 21.75%	2,275,379 9.53%	2,077,464 5.00%	1,978,457 24.32%	1,591,411 4.79%	1,518,663
<b>Yolo</b>	10,479,620 8.03%	9,700,407 -8.16%	10,562,132 4.31%	10,126,174 6.90%	9,472,817 16.59%	8,125,229 26.16%	6,440,455 -13.30%	7,428,634 -4.78%	7,801,694 -3.89%	8,117,315 72.80%	4,697,425 -42.41%	8,156,495 30.20%	6,264,433 22.78%	5,102,162 13.10%	4,511,236 14.33%	3,945,640 8.44%	3,638,515
<b>Yuba</b>	903,917 -34.16%	1,372,811 14.30%	1,201,097 -35.26%	1,855,193 55.99%	1,189,316 26.71%	938,647 -2.04%	958,233 -17.58%	1,162,590 -15.96%	1,383,375 -38.15%	2,236,816 90.62%	1,173,436 31.34%	893,410 12.69%	792,799 -14.70%	929,447 3.82%	895,271 15.38%	775,906 0.85%	769,334
<b>RTPA Totals</b>	<b>72,967,712</b> 4.23%	70,007,483 5.40%	66,423,806 3.01%	64,483,332 11.50%	57,831,525 -6.24%	61,678,779 35.84%	45,406,980 -7.17%	48,912,517 -17.66%	59,403,252 -4.35%	62,104,981 20.45%	51,562,096 -12.95%	59,231,207 20.10%	49,318,073 10.26%	44,729,782 9.26%	40,937,446 18.39%	34,577,241 6.14%	32,576,942

### Comparison of FY2016-17 LTF and FY2015-16 Finding of Apportionment

	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	
Jurisdiction	Pedestrians & Bicycles	Pedestrians & Bicycles	SACOG Planning	SACOG Planning	Amount Available to Jurisdiction	Amount Available to Jurisdiction	Change
Sacramento County (Unincorporated)	\$426,283	\$443,850	\$34,529	\$55,259	\$1,093,415	\$1,749,877	\$694,759
Citrus Heights	\$63,551	\$65,919	\$95,327	\$98,879	\$3,018,688	\$3,131,173	\$118,405
Elk Grove	\$120,788	\$126,114	\$181,183	\$189,171	\$5,737,450	\$5,990,405	\$266,269
Folsom	\$55,636	\$57,993	\$83,454	\$86,990	\$2,642,709	\$2,754,684	\$117,868
Galt	\$18,258	\$19,050	\$27,387	\$28,576	\$867,252	\$904,891	\$39,620
Isleton	\$613	\$635	\$919	\$952	\$29,100	\$30,154	\$1,109
Rancho Cordova	\$50,994	\$53,505	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,511
City of Sacramento	\$357,147	\$371,690	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,543
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$1,093,270</b>	<b>\$1,138,756</b>	<b>\$422,799</b>	<b>\$459,827</b>	<b>\$13,388,614</b>	<b>\$14,561,184</b>	<b>\$1,255,084</b>

### SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT and PARATRANSIT

	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	
Jurisdiction	SACOG Planning	SACOG Planning	Amount Available To Paratransit, Inc.	Amount Available To Paratransit, Inc.	Amount Available To SRTD	Amount Available To SRTD	Change
Sacramento County (Unincorporated)	\$604,895	\$610,515	\$987,995	\$997,175	\$18,167,017	\$18,335,808	\$183,590
Rancho Cordova	\$76,491	\$80,258	\$124,936	\$131,088	\$2,297,291	\$2,410,418	\$123,046
City of Sacramento	\$535,720	\$557,534	\$875,010	\$910,639	\$16,089,472	\$16,744,615	\$712,586
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$1,217,106</b>	<b>\$1,248,307</b>	<b>\$1,987,941</b>	<b>\$2,038,902</b>	<b>\$36,553,780</b>	<b>\$37,490,841</b>	<b>\$1,019,222</b>

	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	
Jurisdiction	SACOG Planning	SACOG Planning	Amount Available to Jurisdiction	Amount Available to Jurisdiction	Change
Yolo County (Unincorporated)	\$34,810	\$40,366	\$1,125,538	\$1,305,164	\$185,182
Davis	\$93,990	\$100,231	\$3,039,004	\$3,240,798	\$208,035
West Sacramento	\$71,682	\$76,981	\$2,317,733	\$2,489,060	\$176,626
Winters	\$9,841	\$10,441	\$318,189	\$337,590	\$20,001
Woodland	\$80,689	\$86,370	\$2,608,931	\$2,792,619	\$189,369
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$291,012</b>	<b>\$314,389</b>	<b>\$9,409,395</b>	<b>\$10,165,231</b>	<b>\$779,213</b>

	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	
Jurisdiction	SACOG Planning	SACOG Planning	Amount Available to Jurisdiction	Amount Available to Jurisdiction	Change
Yuba County (Unincorporated)	\$32,375	\$21,448	\$1,046,784	\$693,476	-\$364,235
Marysville	\$6,856	\$4,412	\$221,679	\$142,642	-\$81,481
Wheatland	\$1,954	\$1,258	\$63,164	\$40,682	-\$23,178
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$41,185</b>	<b>\$27,118</b>	<b>\$1,331,627</b>	<b>\$876,800</b>	<b>-\$468,894</b>

	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	
Jurisdiction	SACOG Planning	SACOG Planning	Amount Available to Jurisdiction	Amount Available to Jurisdiction	Change
Sutter County (Unincorporated)	\$28,875	\$30,565	\$933,610	\$988,265	\$56,345
Live Oak	\$11,350	\$12,415	\$366,996	\$401,431	\$35,500
Yuba City	\$87,898	\$96,410	\$2,842,025	\$3,117,268	\$283,755
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$128,123</b>	<b>\$139,390</b>	<b>\$4,142,631</b>	<b>\$4,506,964</b>	<b>\$375,600</b>