April 13, 2017

Approve Revised Local Transportation Fund Findings of Apportionment for the County of Sacramento for Fiscal Year 2016/17

**Issue:** Review and approve the revised Fiscal Year 2016/17 Local Transportation Fund Findings of Apportionment for Sacramento County.

**Recommendation:** The Government Relations & Public Affairs Committee unanimously recommends that the SACOG Board approve the revised Local Transportation Fund Findings of Apportionment for Fiscal Year 2016/17 for Sacramento County.

**Committee Action/Discussion:** The County of Sacramento Finance Department and SACOG staff have reviewed the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) and identified additional funds that are available for distribution in Fiscal Year 2016/17. To make these funds available for fiscal year (FY 2016/17), SACOG staff has prepared this amendment to the Sacramento County LTF Findings of Apportionment (FOA) for FY 2016/17. Attachment A identifies the latest amendment to the FOA for Sacramento County and indicates the amount of LTF each claimant within the county will be eligible to receive.

In accordance with the TDA statute, the LTF are apportioned on a pro-rata basis using population estimates published by the California Department of Finance for the most recent calendar year.

Approved by:

James Corless
Chief Executive Officer

JC:MC:AZ:ts
Attachment

**Key Staff:** Erik Johnson, Manager of Policy and Administration, (916) 340-6247
Matt Carpenter, Director of Transportation Services, (916) 340-6276
Azadeh Doherty, Senior Analyst, (916) 340-6221
Caroline Payne, Associate Analyst, (916) 340-6220
## County of Sacramento

### Revised 03/23/2017

#### Findings of Apportionment Amendment

**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)**

**Fiscal Year 2016/17**

- County's Estimated June 30, 2016 Balance: $600,000
- Local Transportation Fund Income 2016-17: $58,600,000
- Less: County Administrative Costs: -$19,000
- Less: SACOG Administrative Costs: -$661,052

**Balance for Allocation**: $58,519,948

### Jurisdictional Apportionment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Population 1/</th>
<th>% of Total Population County</th>
<th>Finding of Apportionment</th>
<th>SACOG Planning</th>
<th>Available to Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Pedestrians &amp; Bicycles 2/</th>
<th>Available to Jurisdiction for Article 4 and Article 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento County (Unincorporated)</td>
<td>573,313</td>
<td>38.98%</td>
<td>$22,809,146</td>
<td>$36,951</td>
<td>$22,772,196</td>
<td>$456,183</td>
<td>$1,170,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrus Heights</td>
<td>85,147</td>
<td>5.79%</td>
<td>$3,387,557</td>
<td>$101,627</td>
<td>$3,285,930</td>
<td>$67,751</td>
<td>$3,218,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk Grove</td>
<td>162,899</td>
<td>11.07%</td>
<td>$6,480,905</td>
<td>$194,427</td>
<td>$6,286,478</td>
<td>$129,618</td>
<td>$6,156,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folsom</td>
<td>74,909</td>
<td>5.09%</td>
<td>$2,980,240</td>
<td>$89,407</td>
<td>$2,890,833</td>
<td>$59,605</td>
<td>$2,831,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galt</td>
<td>24,607</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>$978,985</td>
<td>$29,370</td>
<td>$949,615</td>
<td>$19,580</td>
<td>$930,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isleton</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td>$32,624</td>
<td>$979</td>
<td>$31,645</td>
<td>$652</td>
<td>$30,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rancho Cordova</td>
<td>69,112</td>
<td>4.70%</td>
<td>$2,749,607</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2,749,607</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Sacramento</td>
<td>480,105</td>
<td>32.64%</td>
<td>$19,100,884</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$19,100,884</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>1,470,912</td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
<td><strong>$58,519,948</strong></td>
<td><strong>$452,760</strong></td>
<td><strong>$58,067,188</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,170,399</strong></td>
<td><strong>$14,337,404</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1/ Jurisdictional Population
2/ Available to Jurisdiction for Pedestrians & Bicycles

---

**COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**

**Attachment A**
## SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT and PARATRANSIT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Finding of Apportionment</th>
<th>Finding of Apportionment Less Ped &amp; Bicycle</th>
<th>% of Population Within SRTD District</th>
<th>Allocation of Finding of Apportionment</th>
<th>SACOG Planning</th>
<th>Amount Available To Paratransit, Inc.</th>
<th>Amount Available To SRTD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento County (Unincorporated)</td>
<td>$22,809,146</td>
<td>$22,352,963</td>
<td>94.6%</td>
<td>$21,145,903</td>
<td>$647,324</td>
<td>$1,057,295</td>
<td>$19,441,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rancho Cordova</td>
<td>$2,749,607</td>
<td>$2,694,615</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,694,615</td>
<td>$82,488</td>
<td>$134,731</td>
<td>$2,477,396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Sacramento</td>
<td>$19,100,884</td>
<td>$18,718,866</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$18,718,866</td>
<td>$573,027</td>
<td>$935,943</td>
<td>$17,209,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>$44,659,638</td>
<td>$43,766,445</td>
<td></td>
<td>$42,559,385</td>
<td>$1,302,838</td>
<td>$2,127,969</td>
<td>$39,128,578</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Regional Transit
- $39,128,578

### Paratransit
- $2,127,969

### SACOG
- $1,755,598

### Ped/Bike
- $1,170,399

### Other Jurisdictions
- $14,337,404

### Total
- $58,519,948

1. Sources: Report E-5, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, 1/1/2015

2. Amount available to jurisdictions for pedestrian and bicycle purposes (Article 3, Section 99233.3)