

TABLE 2 - HOUSING UNIT ALLOCATIONS BY INCOME CATEGORY
Associated Calculations with Adopted RHNA Methodology
 For Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) Period from January 1, 2006 to June 30, 2013

	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	Z	AA	BB	CC
Adopted RHNA Methodology - 2006-2013 Housing Units Distribution Using 2013 MTP distribution of total units and CHAS-based allocation method for income levels																		
Jurisdiction/SOI/Subarea	STARTING POINT - 2000 CENSUS CHAS DATA ¹				2006-2013 TOTAL RHNA ALLOCATION										PROGRESS TOWARDS REGIONAL GOAL IN 2013 IF ALLOCATION APPLIED			
	VL (<50% Median Household Income)	L (50-80% Median Household Income)	M (80-120% Median Household Income)	AM (120+% Median Household Income)	Total Units		Very Low		Low		Moderate		Above Moderate		VL (<50% Median Household Income)	L (50-80% Median Household Income)	M (80-120% Median Household Income)	AM (120+% Median Household Income)
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
REGIONAL GOAL	22.4%	16.8%	19.5%	41.3%											22.4%	16.8%	19.5%	41.3%
Placerville	30.2%	18.6%	20.3%	31.0%	388	100.0%	50	12.9%	56	14.4%	75	19.3%	207	53.3%	27.7%	18.0%	20.1%	34.3%
South Lake Tahoe ³	27.9%	25.6%	22.7%	23.8%	218	100.0%	9	4.0%	9	4.0%	9	4.0%	191	88.0%	25.6%	22.2%	21.3%	31.0%
El Dorado Unincorporated Tahoe Basin ³	14.8%	14.2%	20.5%	50.5%	570	100.0%	171	30.0%	130	22.8%	100	17.5%	169	29.7%	17.8%	15.4%	20.1%	46.7%
El Dorado Unincorporated	14.8%	14.2%	20.5%	50.5%	7,474	100.0%	2,242	30.0%	1,466	19.6%	1,412	18.9%	2,354	31.5%	19.6%	15.8%	19.9%	44.7%
El Dorado County total	18.0%	16.3%	20.8%	44.9%	8,650	100.0%	2,472	28.6%	1,661	19.2%	1,596	18.5%	2,921	33.8%	20.8%	16.9%	20.2%	42.1%
Auburn	19.8%	16.5%	22.0%	41.8%	307	100.0%	78	25.4%	52	16.9%	51	16.6%	126	41.1%	20.7%	16.6%	21.1%	41.6%
Colfax	29.7%	21.4%	22.2%	26.7%	69	100.0%	11	15.9%	10	14.4%	12	17.3%	36	52.3%	26.4%	19.4%	21.0%	33.2%
Lincoln ⁴	23.4%	18.0%	23.8%	34.9%	10,095	100.0%	2,184	21.6%	1,651	16.4%	1,972	19.5%	4,288	42.5%	22.2%	16.7%	19.8%	41.2%
Loomis	13.8%	17.0%	21.2%	48.1%	148	100.0%	44	30.0%	25	16.9%	26	17.6%	53	35.4%	16.2%	16.9%	20.7%	46.2%
Rocklin	12.6%	11.8%	18.1%	57.5%	2,238	100.0%	671	30.0%	487	21.8%	484	21.6%	596	26.6%	19.4%	15.4%	19.2%	46.1%
Roseville	13.8%	13.4%	20.8%	52.0%	8,933	100.0%	2,680	30.0%	1,817	20.3%	1,662	18.6%	2,774	31.1%	20.6%	16.2%	19.8%	43.5%
Placer Unincorporated Tahoe Basin ³	15.7%	14.1%	19.9%	50.4%	375	100.0%	113	30.0%	113	30.0%	67	17.9%	82	22.1%	17.9%	15.3%	19.7%	47.1%
Placer Unincorporated	15.7%	14.1%	19.9%	50.4%	5,854	100.0%	1,425	30.0%	1,065	22.1%	1,164	19.3%	2,200	28.6%	18.2%	15.2%	19.8%	46.9%
Placer County total	15.2%	13.9%	20.3%	50.6%	28,019	100.0%	7,206	25.7%	5,220	18.6%	5,438	19.4%	10,155	36.2%	19.8%	15.9%	19.8%	44.5%
Citrus Heights	19.2%	20.1%	25.4%	35.3%	262	100.0%	78	29.8%	20	7.6%	10	4.0%	154	58.6%	19.7%	19.6%	24.5%	36.2%
Elk Grove ²	9.6%	12.2%	22.2%	55.9%	11,314	100.0%	3,394	30.0%	2,240	19.8%	2,047	18.1%	3,633	32.1%	19.6%	15.8%	20.1%	44.5%
Folsom	10.3%	9.7%	16.2%	63.8%	3,601	100.0%	1,073	29.8%	766	21.3%	810	22.5%	952	26.4%	17.8%	14.1%	18.3%	49.8%
Galt	22.4%	21.9%	23.9%	31.8%	635	100.0%	137	21.6%	66	10.4%	93	14.7%	339	53.2%	22.4%	20.3%	22.6%	34.7%
Isleton	34.1%	18.8%	22.9%	24.1%	77	100.0%	4	5.2%	11	14.3%	11	14.3%	51	66.2%	29.6%	18.1%	21.6%	30.7%
Rancho Cordova ²	26.6%	20.1%	22.1%	31.2%	10,395	100.0%	2,107	20.3%	1,595	15.3%	1,991	19.2%	4,702	45.2%	24.2%	18.3%	21.0%	36.5%
Sacramento ⁵	31.1%	18.9%	19.2%	30.8%	17,649	100.0%	2,472	14.0%	2,582	14.6%	3,603	20.4%	8,992	50.9%	27.9%	18.1%	19.4%	34.7%
Sacramento Unincorporated	22.2%	18.0%	21.4%	38.5%	15,160	100.0%	3,339	22.0%	2,293	15.1%	2,700	17.8%	6,828	45.1%	22.2%	17.6%	20.9%	39.3%
Sacramento County total	23.9%	17.9%	20.9%	37.3%	59,093	100.0%	12,604	21.3%	9,573	16.2%	11,265	19.1%	25,652	43.4%	23.6%	17.6%	20.4%	38.3%
Live Oak	21.5%	16.5%	16.4%	45.7%	625	100.0%	140	22.4%	104	16.6%	141	22.6%	240	38.4%	21.9%	16.6%	18.4%	43.1%
Yuba City ⁶	25.0%	18.0%	16.8%	40.2%	4,740	100.0%	900	17.8%	718	14.6%	1,102	24.6%	2,020	43.1%	23.0%	17.1%	18.9%	41.0%
Sutter Unincorporated	15.4%	14.0%	15.5%	55.1%	313	100.0%	85	27.2%	58	18.5%	73	23.3%	97	30.9%	16.1%	14.3%	15.9%	53.7%
Sutter County total	21.5%	16.5%	16.4%	45.7%	5,678	100.0%	1,125	19.8%	880	15.5%	1,316	23.2%	2,357	41.5%	22.0%	16.7%	18.3%	43.0%
Davis	29.6%	13.5%	14.0%	42.9%	498	100.0%	31	6.2%	119	23.9%	163	32.7%	185	37.1%	26.6%	14.8%	16.3%	42.2%
West Sacramento	36.4%	19.8%	19.6%	24.3%	5,347	100.0%	912	17.1%	829	15.5%	1,075	20.1%	2,531	47.3%	27.7%	17.9%	19.7%	34.7%
Winters	19.4%	17.7%	23.9%	39.0%	403	100.0%	96	23.8%	64	15.9%	68	16.9%	175	43.4%	21.0%	17.1%	21.4%	40.4%
Woodland	21.7%	18.5%	24.6%	35.2%	1,871	100.0%	425	22.7%	266	14.2%	238	12.7%	942	50.4%	21.9%	17.8%	22.7%	37.7%
Yolo Unincorporated	24.3%	20.4%	19.0%	36.3%	1,403	100.0%	284	21.1%	233	14.9%	298	20.4%	588	43.6%	23.9%	19.7%	19.1%	37.3%
Yolo County total	27.8%	17.0%	18.9%	36.3%	9,522	100.0%	1,748	18.4%	1,511	15.9%	1,842	19.3%	4,421	46.4%	25.2%	16.9%	19.3%	38.5%
Marysville	27.5%	21.6%	16.4%	34.4%	137	100.0%	5	4.0%	5	4.0%	52	38.0%	75	54.0%	26.0%	20.4%	17.5%	36.1%
Wheatland ⁷	27.6%	17.3%	13.1%	42.0%	916	100.0%	192	15.1%	151	16.0%	194	28.4%	379	40.6%	22.9%	16.9%	18.8%	41.4%
Yuba Unincorporated	27.5%	20.4%	18.3%	33.9%	6,636	100.0%	1,261	19.0%	966	14.6%	1,382	20.8%	3,027	45.6%	24.4%	18.2%	19.1%	38.2%
Yuba County total	27.5%	20.5%	17.6%	34.3%	7,689	100.0%	1,458	19.0%	1,122	14.6%	1,628	21.2%	3,481	45.3%	24.5%	18.4%	18.9%	38.1%
REGIONAL TOTAL	22.4%	16.8%	19.5%	41.3%	118,652	100.0%	26,613	22.4%	19,966	16.8%	23,086	19.5%	48,987	41.3%	22.8%	17.2%	20.1%	39.9%
RHNA TARGET	26,610	19,965	23,078	48,999	118,652		26,613		19,966		23,086		48,987		22.4%	16.8%	19.5%	41.3%
difference					0		0		0		0		0					

1 - See written methodology for CHAS description and details.
 2 - The cities of Elk Grove and Rancho Cordova incorporated after the 2000 Census was conducted. The 2000 Census CHAS data uses the Elk Grove and Laguna CDPs and the Rancho Cordova CDP data for each respective cities starting point.
 3 - Due to strict regulations on building in Tahoe Basin areas, exceptions to the standard SACOG area methodology have been made. Referencing TRPA's Memorandum of December 6, 2006 to the TRPA Governing Board from TRPA Staff as to Recommendation to distribute '2007 Residential All locations': The following distributions have been made: 29 units per year for the City of South Lake Tahoe, 76 units per year for the unincorporated El Dorado County Tahoe Basin and 50 units per year for the unincorporated Placer County Tahoe Basin.
 4 - Lincoln's RHNA includes housing unit assumptions for its SOI due to annexation and development applications being processed by the city for this area.
 5 - Sacramento's RHNA includes housing unit assumptions for its SOI (Panhandle area) and the Greenbriar project area due to annexation and development applications being processed by the city for these areas.
 6 - Yuba City's RHNA includes housing unit assumptions for its SOI due to annexation and development applications being processed by the city for this area.
 7 - Wheatland's RHNA includes housing unit assumptions for its SOI due to annexation and development applications being processed by the city for this area.

G - Current % of Very Low Income Households (<50%) from 2000 Census CHAS data 1
 H - Current % of Low Income Households (50-80%) 2000 Census CHAS data1
 I - Current % of Moderate Income Households (80 to 120%) 2000 Census CHAS data1
 J - Current % of Above Moderate Income Households (120+%) 2000 Census CHAS data1
 K - 2013 DRAFT RHNA growth allocation (COLUMN F - TABLE 1)
 L - 100% of total allocation per jurisdiction for 2013 (COLUMNS N+P+R+T)
M - Number of RHNA Very Low Income Units allocated for 2006-2013
 N - % of total RHNA 2006-2013 allocation in each jurisdiction allocated to the Very Low Income category
O - Number of RHNA Low Income Units allocated for 2006-2013
 P - % of total RHNA 2006-2013 allocation in each jurisdiction allocated to the Low Income category
Q - Number of RHNA Moderate Income Units allocated for 2006-2013
 R - % of total RHNA 2006-2013 allocation in each jurisdiction allocated to the Moderate Income category
S - Number of RHNA Above Moderate Income Units allocated for 2006-2013
 T - % of total RHNA 2006-2013 allocation in each jurisdiction allocated to the Above Moderate Income category