

**SUMMARY OF ATTENDANCE AT
NOVEMBER 16, 2006, TALL ORDER FORUM**

1,225 citizens participated in simultaneous workshops at eight locations throughout the SACOG region. Valley Vision assigned between five and eight citizens to sit at tables for the evening. As they registered, participants self-identified which of eight interests they represented and Valley Vision made table assignments designed to create a broad cross-section of community interests at each table (i.e. business, environment, public agency, etc. mixed together at each table). The first order of business at each table was for participants to fill in a sign-in sheet, which asked for name, address, e-mail address, phone number, and sector of interest.

All of the participants signed in with at least their name and those names can be correlated with the workshop location.

<i>Workshop Location</i>	Number of Participants
Elk Grove (Southern Sacramento County)	96
Folsom (Eastern Sacramento County)	179
Placerville (El Dorado County)	72
Downtown Sacramento (Central Sac. Co.)	397
Natomas (Northern Sacramento County)	125
Rocklin (Placer County)	110
Yuba City (Sutter and Yuba Counties)	89
Davis (Yolo County)	157
Regional Total	1,225

Participants were asked to place a green dot on a map indicating where they live. Dots were placed by 1,184 participants, all but 11 of whom live in the region. According to this data, participation was spread among the six counties largely in proportion to respective populations. As shown in the table below, Placer County participation was light relative to population and Yolo County's was heavy, but the other four counties were virtually on target.

<i>Place of Residence</i>	<i>Number of Participants</i>	<i>Percentage of Total Participants</i>	Percentage of Region's Population
El Dorado	102	9%	7%
Placer	107	9%	14%
City of Sacramento	272	23%	21%
Remainder of Sacramento Co.	464	40%	42%
Sutter County	52	4%	4%
Yolo County	145	12%	9%
Yuba County	31	3%	3%
Regional Total	1,173	100%	100%

Not all of the participants answered all of the remaining questions. The question about sector of interest was answered by 1,161 participants. The regional summary of these results is shown below and the results by workshop location appear as Attachment 1.

<i>Sector of Interest</i>	Number of Participants
Business/Agriculture	63
Community Interest	554
Education	65
Elected Official	27
Environmental	66
Public Employee	144
Real Estate/Developer	78
Social Equity	25
Transportation Advocate	139
Regional Total	1,161

The question about the participant’s address was answered by 1,016 participants, all but seven of whom listed addresses within the six-county SACOG region. Many participants listed work addresses, especially those who worked for the public sector, business, or real estate/development. Therefore, the best information about residential locations of participants is from the green dots on the maps, presented above.

In addition to recording participant’s personal information on the sign-in sheet, SACOG also attempted to record demographic and residential location information electronically during the meeting so that participants could see each others’ information “live” during the event. This electronic keypad method of recording did not function as expected during the event. We have not been able to determine that the electronic data is sufficiently credible to draw conclusions about the demographic questions posed in the keypad polling and therefore have not included that information in this summary report. Accordingly, all of the information presented in this summary report is based on information provided on the sign-in sheets, from the pre-registration database (if someone signed in at the forum, we used the pre-registration database to fill in any information such as interest area and residential or employment location not provided on the sign-in sheet), and on the maps.