

Exhibit “A”
SACOG Regional Goods Movement Study – Phase 2
Scope of Work

Revised October 18, 2006
And Subject to Revision by Mutual Agreement

Building on the outputs from phase 1 of the Regional Goods Movement Study, the contractor agrees to perform the following tasks:

Task 1

Prepare a 10-20 page “white paper” that would address the issue of “does the region desire a larger role in goods movement or logistics to serve more than just the needs of the local population; would such an expanded role be practical; and would the benefits of such an expanded role outweigh the negative aspects?”

Task 2

Prepare a 10-20 page “white paper” that would explore and discuss the implications of land use decisions on goods movement in the region. This paper should use examples currently facing jurisdictions in the region and should consider such issues as new development site design requirements to accommodate goods movement, the appropriate amount of land to preserve for industrial/goods movement type uses and where it would ideally be located, and how can or should goods movement related economic activities be encouraged from the perspective of Blueprint planning principles.

Task 3

Prepare a 5-10 page “white paper” to discuss the issue of transferability between modes for goods movement. This paper should explore the advantages and disadvantages of goods moving via different modes and utilizing different intermodal combinations.

Task 4

Prepare a 3-5 page “white paper” outlining a proposed regional strategy for pursuing goods movement infrastructure bond funding, should such funding become available.

Task 5

Review and provide comments and recommendations -where appropriate- on the draft MTP scenarios from the perspective of what projects will be beneficial to goods movement activities in the region and what projects may have adverse impacts. Also note any apparent omissions.

Task 6

Provide an analysis of the SACOG travel model. This analysis should note where the model functions well for goods movement and where it may need improvement. This analysis should also include information on any inputs that may be in need of updating.

Task 7

Conduct a focus group consisting of the region's economic development directors. This session will be an opportunity for them to provide input on what they believe are the region's needs regarding goods movement.

Task 8

Identify options for how the region could approach several goods movement issues:

1. Equity regarding impacts to local communities affected by goods movement in an unequal manner
2. Truck parking
3. Safety (particularly the section of SR99 identified by FHWA)
4. Air quality impacts at the local/micro level
5. Impacts on goods movement in and through the region from a major flood event
6. Roadway deterioration (resulting from goods movement activities)
7. Farm to market and related rural goods movement issues.

These issues can be addressed in the form of a matrix that notes who (i.e. what agency or agencies) would be involved, a general timeframe to address the issue (i.e. near term, mid term, long term), and a rough estimate of the fiscal impact (i.e. low, medium, high).

Task 9

Conduct at least three related case studies (to be determined)

Contract Deliverables:

1. Review of the transportation networks created for the 2030 MTP with a focus on those freight facilities from phase 1, with a description of potential future roles for these facilities under each alternative scenario.
2. Review of SACOG's travel model with an analysis noting what works well, where it is in need of improvement and recommendations for updating it.
3. Conduct case studies, focus groups, surveys or other study sessions associated with Tasks 7 & 9.
4. Draft Regional Goods Movement Study (Phase Two) Report (November 6, 2006)
5. Presentation to SACOG Goods Movement Advisory Group (November 9, 2006)
6. Presentation to SACOG Board of Directors Meeting (November 16, 2006)
7. Final Regional Goods Movement Study (Phase Two) Report (December 4, 2006)