



SACOG Board of Directors

February 10, 2010

Approve Local Transportation Fund Findings of Apportionment for Fiscal Year 2010/11 and Revised Sacramento County Findings of Apportionment for Fiscal Year 2009/10

Issue: To review and approve the Fiscal Year 2010/11 Local Transportation Fund Findings of Apportionment for Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties and the revised Sacramento County Findings of Apportionment for Fiscal Year 2009/10.

Recommendation: The Government Relations & Public Affairs Committee unanimously recommends that the Board approve the Findings of Apportionment for Local Transportation funds for Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties for fiscal year 2010/11 and the revised Sacramento County Findings of Apportionment for Fiscal Year 2009/10.

Committee Action/Discussion: The Committee had a lengthy discussion about the decline in sales tax revenues throughout the six county region over the last several years and the impact it was having on transit operators, local governments and SACOG. One part of the discussion focused on the significant decline in the Sacramento County estimate, an additional decline of 20% in FY 2010/11. Following the Committee meeting SACOG and County staff continued to discuss the 2010/11 estimate. We will meet with County staff during the week of the Board meeting and present any revision to the 2010/11 Sacramento County estimate and Findings of Apportionment to the Board at the February 18, 2010 meeting.

The Transportation Development Act (TDA) requires the Regional Transportation Planning Agency to annually adopt Findings of Apportionment which allocates the ¼ percent of sales tax revenue to eligible local agencies prior to March 1 each year. The process requires each of the County Auditor-Controllers to submit their estimate for the ending fund balance for the current fiscal year (in this case FY 2009/10) plus their estimate of sales tax available to the TDA fund at their County for the next fiscal year. The total amount available for apportionment in fiscal year 2010/11 by the four County Auditor-Controllers is \$40,150,697. Due to the on-going economic slow down this is a significant 28.8 percent decrease over last year's initial findings of \$56,419,061.

This staff report also recommends a revised finding of apportionment for Sacramento County. We expect to bring downward revisions for Yolo and Yuba County findings of apportionment to the Committee and Board in the March cycle. With these estimates for FY 2010/11 and revised Sacramento County estimate for FY 2009/10 SACOG will apportion the available funds to each of the eligible claimants within the County based on the California Department of Finance population figures for the most recent calendar year.

TDA law allows SACOG and each of the counties to claim a portion of the revenue for administrative fees, which are shown on the attached spreadsheets. Staff recommends that the SACOG's administrative fee remain at the rate of 0.993 percent, the same as in previous years. This rate yields \$402,696. SACOG is also allowed a planning fee of 3 percent which for fiscal year 2010/11 is \$1,204,522. No change is recommended in this fee. The sum of the two is \$627,782 less than the initial findings of apportionment in fiscal year 2009/10.

The staff is not recommending any increase in the fees charged to the local transportation fund, but for the first time in current staff memory, this year's administrative fee of 0.993 percent does not generate enough revenue to cover the baseline cost of administering the program. Those baseline costs include both the cost of the annual fiscal audits and the staff salaries and overhead to administer the program. The estimated shortfall of approximately \$132,000 would require an increase in the fee to 1.3 percent. If LTF or other local revenues are used to cover the baseline TDA program administrative costs, careful consideration must be given the potential impact to our ability to fully match available federal and state grant programs. The staff recommends that any discussion of revenue increasing activities take place in March in the context a holistic discussion of the overall budget. If at that time the Board determines that a fee increase is necessary, a revised finding of apportionment will be prepared, circulated, reviewed and adopted.

The revised finding of apportionment for Sacramento County is also attached to this staff report. This is the second revision to the findings for this fiscal year and reduces the estimate from the November 2009 revised estimate of \$42 million to a February 2010 estimate of \$38.5 million, a -9.16 percent adjustment. SACOG will lose \$138,707 of LTF funds (\$34,752 of administrative cost reimbursement and \$103,955 in planning revenue) with this revised apportionment. This reduction will be reflected in a future amendment to the 2009/10 OWP.

Attached you will find a long term picture of the LTF revenues and findings of apportionment dating back to 1993/92. A review of this data indicates the impact of the current economic downturn. The level of funding for our jurisdictions is at levels that compare to the 1996/97 in Sacramento County, 2001/02 in Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties. This continued four decline in sales tax funds exceeds any prior downturn over at least the past 28 years for which we have available data. The apportionments are from the annual findings of apportionment and indicate the amount each claimant was eligible to receive. In accordance with the TDA laws, each year's LTF funds are apportioned on a pro-rata basis based on population estimates from the California Department of Finance as of January 1.

Approved by:

Mike McKeever
Executive Director

MM:JEB:sb
Attachments

Key Staff: James E. Brown, Principal Program Expert, (916) 340-6220
Clint Holtzen, Assistant Planner, (916) 340-6246

COUNTY OF YUBA
DRAFT
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS
Fiscal Year 2010/11

County's Estimated June 30, 2008 Balance	\$0
Local Transportation Fund - Income 2008-09	968,594
Less: County Administrative Costs	-750
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-9,611</u>

Balance for Allocation	<u><u>\$958,233</u></u>
------------------------	-------------------------

2/11/10 2:27 PM

JURISDICTION	Population ^{1/}	% of Total County Population	Finding of Apportionment	Amount Available for SACOG Planning	Amount Available to Jurisdiction ^{2/}
Yuba Co. (Unincorporated)	54,519	77.06%	\$738,414	\$22,152	\$716,262 _{3/}
Marysville	12,713	17.97%	\$172,194	\$5,166	167,028 _{3/}
Wheatland	3,513	4.97%	\$47,624	\$1,429	46,195
TOTALS	70,745	100.00%	\$958,232	\$28,747	\$929,485

1/ Source: CA Department of Finance E-1, January 1, 2009.

2/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

3/ All or a portion may be available to the Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

5/16/2008

COUNTY OF YOLO
DRAFT
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS
Fiscal Year 2010/11

County's Estimated June 30, 2008 Balance	-\$60,458
Local Transportation Fund - Income 2008-09	6,570,508
Less: County Administrative Costs	-5,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-64,595</u>
 Balance for Allocation	 <u><u>\$6,440,455</u></u>

2/11/10 2:27 PM

JURISDICTION	Population ^{1/}	% of Total County Population	Finding of Apportionment	Amount Available for SACOG Planning	Amount Available to Jurisdiction ^{2/}
Yolo Co. (Unincorporated)	23,172	11.94%	\$768,990	\$23,070	\$745,920
Davis	64,938	33.48%	2,156,264	\$64,688	2,091,576
West Sacramento	44,928	23.16%	1,491,609	\$44,748	1,446,861
Winters	6,885	3.55%	228,636	\$6,859	221,777
Woodland	54,060	27.87%	1,794,956	\$53,849	1,741,107
TOTALS	193,983	100.00%	\$6,440,455	\$193,214	\$6,247,241

1/ Source: CA Department of Finance E-1, January 1, 2009.

2/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8. All or a portion may be available to the Yolo County Transportation District depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

COUNTY OF SUTTER
DRAFT
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS
Fiscal Year 2010/11

County's Estimated June 30, 2008 Balance	\$226,911
Local Transportation Fund - Income 2008-09	2,073,089
Less: County Administrative Costs	-2,500
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-22,814</u>
 Balance for Allocation	 <u><u>\$2,274,686</u></u>

2/11/10 2:27 PM

JURISDICTION	Population ^{1/}	% of Total County Population	Finding of Apportionment	Amount Available for SACOG Planning	Amount Available to Jurisdiction ^{2/}
Sutter Co. (Unincorporated)	23,710	25.25%	\$574,358	\$17,231	\$557,127 ^{3/}
Live Oak	8,126	8.65%	196,736	5,902	190,834
Yuba City	62,083	66.10%	1,503,592	45,108	1,458,484 ^{3/}
TOTALS	93,919	100.00%	\$2,274,686	\$68,241	\$2,206,445

1/ Source: CA Department of Finance E-1, January 1, 2009.

2/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

3/ All or a portion may be available to the Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority depending outcome of unmet needs finding.

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
DRAFT
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS
Fiscal Year 2010/11

County's Estimated June 30, 2008 Balance	\$0
Local Transportation Fund - Income 2008-09	30,800,000
Less: County Administrative Costs	-17,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	-305,676
	\$30,477,324
Balance for Allocation	\$30,477,324

2/11/10 2:27 PM

JURISDICTION	Population ^{1/}	% of Total County Population	Finding of Apportionment	Pedestrians & Bicycles ^{2/}	Amount Available for SACOG	Amount Available to Jurisdiction ^{3/}
Sacramento Co. (Unincorporated)	565,309	39.44%	\$12,021,534	\$240,431	\$17,130	\$542,472 ^{4/}
Citrus Heights	87,565	6.11%	1,862,107	37,242	55,863	1,769,002
Elk Grove	141,430	9.87%	3,007,568	60,151	90,227	2,857,190
Folsom	71,018	4.96%	1,510,228	30,205	45,307	1,434,716
Galt	24,133	1.68%	513,198	10,264	15,396	487,538
Isleton	818	0.06%	17,395	348	522	16,525
Rancho Cordova	61,817	4.31%	1,314,564	26,291		
City of Sacramento	481,097	33.57%	10,230,730	204,615		
TOTALS	1,433,187	100.00%	\$30,477,324	\$609,547	\$224,445	\$7,107,443

SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT and PARATRANSIT

JURISDICTION	Findings of Apportionment \$ amt Less Bike/Ped \$ amt	% of Population Within RT District	Amount Available for allocation to Paratransit, SACOG and RT	Paratransit's 5% of Amount available	Amount Available for SACOG Planning	Remaining Amount for SRTD
Sacramento Co. (Unincorporated)	\$11,781,103	95.25%	\$11,221,501	\$561,075	\$343,516	\$10,316,910
Rancho Cordova	1,288,273	100.00%	1,288,273	64,414	39,437	1,184,422
Sacramento City	10,026,115	100.00%	10,026,115	501,306	306,922	9,217,887
TOTALS			\$22,535,889	\$1,126,795	\$689,875	\$20,719,219

Regional Transit	\$20,719,219
Paratransit	\$1,126,795
SACOG	\$914,320
Ped/Bike	\$609,547
Remaining	\$7,107,443
Total	\$30,477,324

Footnotes:

- 1/ Sources: CA Department of Finance E-1, January 1, 2007.
- 2/ Amount available to jurisdictions for pedestrian and bicycle purposes (Article 3, Section 99233.3), unless found that such monies could be used to better advantage for the purposes of community transit services (Article 4.5), to operators of public transportation systems (Article 4), or for streets and roads (Article 8).
- 3/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.
- 4/ All or a portion may be available for transit depending upon outcome of unmet needs finding.

TDA Local Transportation Fund (LTF) Estimate History
Fiscal Year 2010/11

Historical Total Allocations and Annual Percentage Increases by County

County	2010/11	2009/10	2008/09	2007/08	2006/07	2005/06	2004/05	2003/04	2002/03	2001/02	2000/01	1999/00	1998/99	1997/98	1996/97	1995/96	1994/95	1993/94
Sacramento	\$30,477,324 -30.49%	\$43,843,269 -10.33%	\$48,892,626 2.81%	\$47,554,687 -18.13%	\$58,087,354 7.03%	\$54,272,800 9.67%	\$49,486,669 7.07%	\$46,219,438 7.60%	\$42,955,672 -9.40%	\$47,410,987 18.57%	\$39,985,462 9.19%	\$36,620,709 9.14%	\$33,552,482 3.48%	\$32,422,851 4.69%	\$30,971,258 5.10%	\$29,469,107 4.26%	\$28,264,284 6.06%	\$26,650,430
Sutter	2,274,686 2.44%	2,220,430 67.51%	1,325,557 -68.41%	4,196,163 25.37%	3,347,031 17.27%	2,854,075 -17.59%	3,463,067 6.06%	3,265,152 19.36%	2,735,563 -1.25%	2,770,315 21.75%	2,275,379 9.53%	2,077,464 5.00%	1,978,457 3.78%	1,906,478 7.03%	1,781,320 5.59%	1,687,070 6.01%	1,591,411 4.79%	1,518,663
Yolo	6,440,455 -23.41%	8,409,517 7.79%	7,801,694 -3.89%	8,117,315 -17.13%	9,794,726 21.53%	8,059,786 30.33%	6,184,066 3.07%	5,999,824 27.73%	4,697,425 -42.41%	8,156,495 30.20%	6,264,433 22.78%	5,102,162 13.10%	4,511,236 -0.11%	4,516,362 4.28%	4,330,795 3.44%	4,186,801 6.11%	3,945,640 8.44%	3,638,515
Yuba	958,233 -29.57%	1,360,604 -1.65%	1,383,375 -38.15%	2,236,816 32.63%	1,686,460 28.44%	1,313,080 45.38%	903,191 -14.51%	1,056,454 -9.97%	1,173,436 31.34%	893,410 12.69%	792,799 -14.70%	929,447 3.82%	895,271 5.78%	846,368 0.51%	842,111 1.05%	833,366 7.41%	775,906 0.85%	769,334
RTPA Totals	\$40,150,697 -28.09%	\$55,833,821 -6.01%	\$59,403,251 -4.35%	\$62,104,981 -14.83%	\$72,915,572 9.65%	\$66,499,742 10.76%	\$60,036,993 6.18%	\$56,540,868 9.66%	\$51,562,096 -12.95%	\$59,231,208 20.10%	\$49,318,073 10.26%	\$44,729,782 9.26%	\$40,937,446 3.14%	\$39,692,059 4.66%	\$37,925,484 4.84%	\$36,176,344 4.62%	\$34,577,241 6.14%	\$32,576,942

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
REVISION #2
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS
FY 09/10

County's Estimated June 30, 2008 Balance	\$0
Local Transportation Fund - Income 2008-09	38,500,000
Less: County Administrative Costs	-17,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	-382,137
	\$38,100,863
Balance for Allocation	\$38,100,863

2/11/10 2:30 PM

JURISDICTION	Population ^{1/}	% of Total County Population	Finding of Apportionment	Pedestrians & Bicycles ^{2/}	Amount Available for SACOG	Amount Available to Jurisdiction ^{3/}
Sacramento Co. (Unincorporated)	563,514	39.48%	\$15,041,435	\$300,829	\$20,576	\$651,596 ^{4/}
Citrus Heights	87,321	6.12%	2,330,791	46,616	69,924	2,214,251
Elk Grove	139,542	9.78%	3,724,685	74,494	111,741	3,538,450
Folsom	75,590	5.30%	2,017,664	40,353	60,530	1,916,781
Galt	23,913	1.68%	638,291	12,766	19,149	606,376
Isleton	817	0.06%	21,808	436	654	20,718
Rancho Cordova	60,975	4.27%	1,627,558	32,551		
City of Sacramento	475,743	33.33%	12,698,633	253,973		
TOTALS	1,427,415	100.00%	\$38,100,865	\$762,018	\$282,574	\$8,948,172

SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT and PARATRANSIT

JURISDICTION	Findings of Apportionment \$ amt Less Bike/Ped \$ amt	% of Population Within RT District	Amount Available for allocation to Paratransit, SACOG and RT	Paratransit's 5% of Amount available	Amount Available for SACOG Planning	Remaining Amount for SRTD
Sacramento Co. (Unincorporated)	\$14,740,606	95.44%	\$14,068,434	\$703,422	\$430,667	\$12,934,345
Rancho Cordova	1,595,007	100.00%	1,595,007	79,750	48,827	1,466,430
Sacramento City	12,444,660	100.00%	12,444,660	622,233	380,959	11,441,468
TOTALS			\$28,108,101	\$1,405,405	\$860,453	\$25,842,243

Regional Transit	\$25,842,243
Paratransit	\$1,405,405
SACOG	\$1,143,027
Ped/Bike	\$762,018
Remaining	\$8,948,172
Total	\$38,100,865

Footnotes:

1/ Sources: CA Department of Finance E-1, January 1, 2007.

2/ Amount available to jurisdictions for pedestrian and bicycle purposes (Article 3, Section 99233.3), unless found that such monies could be used to better advantage for the purposes of community transit services (Article 4.5), to operators of public transportation systems (Article 4), or for streets and roads (Article 8).

3/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

4/ All or a portion may be available for transit depending upon outcome of unmet needs finding.