



SACOG Transportation Committee

November 25, 2009

Programming Recommendations for the Large Urbanized Area Federal Transit Administration Section 5316 and 5317 (Job Access Reverse Commute and New Freedom) Grants

Issue: Six agencies in the SACOG region have applied for Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5316 and 5317 Grants to complete eligible projects.

Recommendation: That the Transportation Committee recommend that the Board approve the attached list of projects and funding for large urban area projects for FFY 2009 and direct SACOG staff to submit the grant applications to the Federal Transit Administration for the Sacramento (large) Urbanized area.

Discussion: Under the provisions in SAFETEA-LU, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) distributes Section 5316/Job Access Reverse Commute (JARC) and Section 5317/New Freedom (NF) program funds by formula to designated recipients throughout the country. SACOG is the designated recipient for Sacramento Urbanized area. For FFY 2009, the JARC Sacramento Urbanized area apportionment was \$956,458 and the New Freedom Sacramento Urbanized area apportionment was \$510,913. SACOG scores the Sacramento Urbanized area's JARC and New Freedom applications.

SAFETEA-LU requires that SACOG use a competitive application process to select which Sacramento Urbanized area applicant agencies receive JARC and New Freedom funds and in what amount. A scoring committee made up of SACOG staff met and scored the projects on the attached JARC and New Freedom project list. SACOG then uses the scores to distribute FTA 5316 and 5317 funds to the applicants. An example scoring sheet and included criteria are attached. The JARC/NF process and scoring was reviewed by the Transit Coordinating Committee which supported the staff recommendations.

Upon approval by the Board, SACOG staff will apply directly to FTA for all FFY 2009 FTA 5316 and 5317 Sacramento Urbanized area funds using the project applications and scores.

Approved by:

Mike McKeever
Executive Director

MM:BVB:gg
Attachments

Key Staff: Matt Carpenter, Director of Transportation Planning, (916) 340-6276
James E. Brown, Program Planning Expert, (916) 340-6221
Barbara VaughanBechtold, Associate Planner, (916) 340-6226
Edward Coviello, Assistant Planner, (916) 340-6223

APPLICANT	PROJECT	TYPE	SECTION A1 SCORE	SECTION A2 SCORE	SECTION B SCORE	SECTION C SCORE	SECTION D1 SCORE	SECTION D2 SCORE	SECTION E SCORE	PROJECT SCORE	FUNDING REQ
Regional Transit	Operating	JARC	10	10	23	20	6	6	10	84	\$300,000
YCTD	Operating	JARC	10	10	30	15	10	8	10	92	\$65,000
Sac Co DHA	Operating	JARC	10	10	30	20	10	10	5	95	\$591,458
										JARC Total	\$956,458
										JARC Avail	\$956,458
WPCTSA	Operating Mobility	NF	10	10	30	20	10	10	3	93	\$162,206
Paratransit, Inc.	Mgmt/Capital	NF	10	10	27	15	10	8	10	89	\$125,000
Sac Co DHA	Operating	NF	10	10	30	20	10	10	5	95	\$152,275
City of Roseville	Operating	NF	8	7	18	15	9	10	9	75	\$11,432
YCTD	Operating	NF	10	10	29	20	9	8	10	95	\$60,000
										NF Total	\$510,913
										NF Avail	\$510,913
										Total	\$1,467,371



JARC/NF PROJECT SCORING

Scores will be calculated within a 0 – 10-point range for each 10 point question (i.e., 0-10), 0-20 for the 20 point question (i.e., 0-20) and 0-30 for the 30 point question (i.e., 0-30). To receive the maximum points per question, each response **must be completed with clear and concise information and contain the required supporting documentation**. Incomplete responses and/or a lack of supporting documentation will result in reduced score(s).

A. Program Goals and Objectives - (20 total points):

1. Applicant demonstrates that the project is consistent with the overall JARC or New Freedom program goals and objectives, as listed in the program goals on Page 3 of these instructions. (10 points maximum)
2. Applicant demonstrates how project activities directly address transportation gaps and/or barriers identified through the locally developed human services transportation planning process within their communities. (Applicant indicates the section/page number in the Coordinated Plan addressing the gaps and/or barriers). (10 points maximum)

B. Project Implementation Plan - (30 points):

3. Applicant provides a well-defined operations plan with defined routes, schedules, current/projected ridership, key personnel, and marketing strategies with supporting documentation for carrying out the project. For Capital projects, applicant provides an implementation plan that includes project tasks, timeframes, benchmarks, key milestones, key personnel, deliverables and estimated completion date with supporting documentation. Describe type of equipment you are interested in purchasing and identify the components. Discuss how the requested ancillary equipment will be used to support the transportation program. Discuss any expected improvements in service delivery or coordination and any reduction in the cost to provide service. If computer equipment is being requested, also describe current method of collecting and tracking information. Both the operations and implementation plans must identify key personnel assigned to this project and their qualifications, including resumes and certifications as supporting documentation. Applicants must demonstrate their institutional capability to carry out the service delivery aspect of the project. (30 points maximum)

C. Program Performance Indicators - (20 points):

4. Applicant identifies clear measurable outcome-based performance measures and indicators to track the effectiveness of the project as described in Page 4 of these instructions. Applicant states the number of persons to be served, trip purpose(s), and the number of trips. Additional measurable units of service can also be used. Applicant must describe the outcome (impact) that the project will have on low-income (JARC) or individuals with disabilities (New Freedom).

Applicant describes a process that details the ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the project or service, including methodologies and desired outcomes based upon the performance objectives identified. (20 points maximum)

D. Communication and Outreach - (20 total points):

5. Stakeholder list should include, but not be limited to, Health and Human Services Agencies, public/private sector, non-profit agencies, transportation providers, and members of the public representing low-income (JARC) and individuals with disabilities (New Freedom). Applicants will be evaluated based on their ability to coordinate with other community transportation and/or social service resources. (10 points maximum)
6. Applicants must keep stakeholders involved and informed of project activities throughout the project timeline. Applicant must also describe how they would promote public awareness of the project. Three (3) letters of support from stakeholders must be attached to the grant application. (One of the three support letters may come from a client of the proposed project.) (10 points maximum)

E. Emergency Planning and Preparedness - (10 total points):

7. Applicant describes emergency planning and drill activities. Provide proof your agency is included in the response plan with the County Office of Emergency Services. Indicate the drill(s) you have participated in or are scheduled to participate in. (10 points maximum)

JARC/NF 4th Cycle (FFY2009) Scoring Form

Application # _____

NOTES: 1. All 'zero' scores need comments.

2. Project requesting both JARC & NF funds needs to be scored for both separately.

Reviewer's Initials _____

Question Number	Score	Comments
A1 <small>(0 to 10)</small>	JARC _____	
	NF _____	
A2 <small>(0 to 10)</small>	JARC _____	
	NF _____	
B3 <small>(0 to 30)</small>	JARC _____	
	NF _____	
C4 <small>(0 to 20)</small>	JARC _____	
	NF _____	
D5 <small>(0 to 10)</small>	JARC _____	
	NF _____	
D6 <small>(0 to 10)</small>	JARC _____	
	NF _____	
E7 <small>(0 to 10)</small>	JARC _____	
	NF _____	
Additional Comments		



SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

RESOLUTION NO. X – 2009

APPROVING FTA SECTION 5316 AND 5317 LARGE URBANIZED AREA GRANT APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED BY: COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN ASSISTANCE; SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT; WESTERN PLACER CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AGENCY; YOLO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT; PARATRANSIT, INC. AND THE CITY OF ROSEVILLE

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) is the Metropolitan Planning Organization and the Regional Transportation Planning Agency responsible for transportation planning in the Sacramento region; and

WHEREAS, SACOG is responsible for preparing and adopting the Metropolitan Transportation Plan, the Public Transit and Human Services Transportation Coordinated Plan and the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program; and

WHEREAS, SACOG is the designated recipient for Jobs Access Reverse Commute and New Freedom funds for the Sacramento Urbanized Area; and

WHEREAS, SACOG has reviewed the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5316 and 5317 Small Urbanized area Grant Program applications for Federal Fiscal Year 2009 submitted by County of Sacramento Department of Human Assistance, Sacramento Regional Transit District, Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency, Yolo County Transportation District, Paratransit, Inc. and the City of Roseville.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that SACOG certifies that:

1. The projects recommended for funding are consistent with SACOG's adopted Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP).
2. The projects recommended for funding are consistent with the SACOG Public Transit and Human Services Transportation Coordinated Plan as require by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

3. The projects will be included in the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP).

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 17th day of December 2009, by the following vote of the Board of Directors:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

Helen Thomson
Chair

Mike McKeever
Executive Director