



SACOG Board of Directors

January 5, 2009

Approve SACOG Guidelines and Applications for Distribution of Proposition 1B Transit System Safety, Security, and Disaster Response Account – Bond Funds

Issue: Proposition 1B Transit Safety, Security and Disaster Response Account (TSS) Bond Funds are available for the 2008-2009 cycle. The Department of Homeland Security has set January 21, 2009, as the due date for applications, although action may be delayed due to the unresolved state budget. SACOG has received applications for projects to be funded through the “regional” funding account.

Recommendation: The Strategic Planning Committee will meet on January 12th to discuss this item. A recommendation will be brought to the Board at this meeting.

Discussion: The adopted state budget makes funds available in FY 2008-2009 through Proposition 1B under the TSS Account totaling \$60 million statewide, pending resolution of current budget issues and the reopening of state bond funds. For the SACOG region, a total of \$2,158,763 million is available; \$1,398,859 comes through SACOG as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA), and an additional \$759,904 goes directly to the region’s transit operators. TSS Account funds are allocated to regions and transit operators on the same formula used for the allocation of State Transit Assistance funds. TSS Account funds also flow to the Placer and El Dorado RTPAs and transit operators in those counties separately from SACOG.

Project applications have been received from the Sacramento Regional Transit District, Paratransit Inc, the City of Elk Grove, the Yolo County Transportation District, and the Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority. SACOG staff has reviewed the applications—using guidelines approved by the SACOG Board in April 2008—with the Transit Coordinating Committee (TCC) at its December 17, 2008, meeting and prepared the attached final project funding recommendations. The full amount available of regional funds has been recommended by the Transit Coordinating Committee. Recommended funding levels were based upon scoring of applications using the SACOG approved guidelines.

Approved by:

Mike McKeever
Executive Director

MM:EC:gg
Attachments

Key Staff: Matt Carpenter, Manager of Transportation Planning, (916) 340-6276
James E. Brown, Supervising Senior Planner, (916) 340-6221
Edward Coviello, Assistant Planner, (916) 340-6223

Transit System Safety, Security, and Disaster Response Account Funding Requests

GS 8879.55(a)(2) [PUC 99313]

Sacramento County

AGENCY	PROJECT TITLE (December 2008 Call for Proj)	AGENCY REQUEST	COMMENTS	STAFF SCORE	AGENCY PRIORITY	STAFF RECOMMEND
SRTD	Automated Vehicle Location	\$868,493		65		\$848,380
Paratransit Inc	Mobile Incident Command Operations and Communications Project	\$56,308	Coordinate with Regional Operators to ensure communications equipment is interoperable	68		\$56,308
Paratransit Inc	Onboard, digital surveillance systems	\$79,042		60		\$59,000
City of Elk Grove	Vehicle Location and Farebox Security Project	\$243,478	I.J. will need to be revised to include the cost per vehicle for AVL	68	AVL for Vehicles before fareboxes	\$151,000
Total Requested		\$1,247,321				
Sacramento County Total						\$1,114,688

Yolo County

AGENCY	PROJECT TITLE (March 2008 Call for Proj)	AGENCY REQUEST	COMMENTS	STAFF SCORE	AGENCY PRIORITY	STAFF RECOMMEND
YCTD	Shelters and Solar Light	\$18,700		60		\$18,700
YCTD	Route Match/AVL Connection	\$85,000		58		\$85,000
YCTD	Emergency Backup Generator	\$50,000		56		\$50,000
Yolo County Total						\$153,700

Yuba & Sutter Counties

AGENCY	PROJECT TITLE (March 2008 Call for Proj)	AGENCY REQUEST	COMMENTS	STAFF SCORE	AGENCY PRIORITY	STAFF RECOMMEND
Yuba Sutter Transit	Facility Security Project – Regional Portion	\$130,471		63		\$130,471
Yuba & Sutter County Total						\$130,471

Regional Total \$1,398,859

Transit System Safety, Security, and Disaster Response Account Funding Requests

GS 8879.55(a)(3) [PUC 99314]

Sacramento County

AGENCY	PROJECT TITLE (December 2008 Call for Proj)	AGENCY REQUEST	COMMENTS	STAFF SCORE	AGENCY PRIORITY	STAFF RECOMMEND
SRTD	Automated Vehicle Location	\$706,507				\$706,507
City of Elk Grove	Vehicle Location and Farebox Security Project	\$6,522	IJ will need to be revised to include the cost per vehicle for AVL and to further define the benefits of the Fareboxes			\$6,522
Sacramento County Total						<u><u>\$713,029</u></u>

Yolo County

AGENCY	PROJECT TITLE (March 2008 Call for Proj)	AGENCY REQUEST	COMMENTS	STAFF SCORE	AGENCY PRIORITY	STAFF RECOMMEND
YCTD	Shelters and Solar Light	\$16,426				\$16,426
Yolo County Total						<u><u>\$16,426</u></u>

Yuba & Sutter Counties

AGENCY	PROJECT TITLE (March 2008 Call for Proj)	AGENCY REQUEST	COMMENTS	STAFF SCORE	AGENCY PRIORITY	STAFF RECOMMEND
Yuba Sutter Transit	Facility Security Project – Regional Portion	\$7,969				\$7,969
Yuba & Sutter County Total						<u><u>\$7,969</u></u>

Regional Total \$737,424

SACOG Guidelines

FY 2008-2009 Allocation Amount by Jurisdiction		
<u>PUC 99314</u>	<u>2008-09 Allocation</u>	<u>Percent Revenue</u>
Davis	\$20,292	2.67%
Elk Grove	\$6,522	0.86%
Folsom	\$2,188	0.29%
Regional Transit	\$706,507	92.97%
YCTD	\$16,426	2.16%
<u>Yuba-Sutter Transit</u>	<u>\$7,969</u>	<u>1.05%</u>
Total	\$759,904	100.00%
<u>PUC 99313</u>	<u>2008-09 Allocation</u>	<u>Percent of Pop.</u>
Yuba County	\$56,059	4.01%
Yolo County	\$153,700	10.99%
Sutter County	\$74,412	5.32%
<u>Sacramento County</u>	<u>\$1,114,688</u>	<u>79.69%</u>
Total	\$1,398,859	100.00%

The Proposition 1B funds available for FY 2008-2009 are outlined in the table above. The program is for ten years and the next application cycle is due on January 21, 2010. Sixty million dollars per year for ten years is expected to be available to be allocated across the state.

For FY 2008-2009, approved projects must be completed by March 31, 2012. Additionally, grant recipients must submit biannual performance reports as outlined on page 20 of the CTSGP-CTAF Guidelines. The reports are submitted using the Office of Domestic Preparedness Secure (FEMA) Portal at: <https://preparednessportal.dhs.gov/>. Directions on registering for the Secure Portal can be found on Page 14 of the CTSGP-CTAF Guidelines.

The SACOG Project Evaluation Form will be used to score potential projects for final selection. All projects in 99313 must be applied for through SACOG. SACOG will then score the 99313 applications and notify applicants of their status. For 99314 applicants, they do not need to complete the SACOG Project Evaluation Form. However, 99313 funds applied for must complete an Investment Justification from the CTSGP-CTAF Guidelines and submit the Investment Justification to SACOG by December 8, 2008 by the close of Business.

The applications are due to SACOG on December 8, 2008 by the close of business. SACOG will then review the 99313 applications and score the applications. The applications will be forwarded to the Office of Homeland Security by highest score until all of the funds allocated in the County under 99313 are accounted for. For projects that do not score high enough, SACOG will notify the applicant.

SACOG Project Evaluation Form

FY 2008-2009 Transit System Safety, Security, & Disaster Response Account

Project Sponsor:

Project Location:

Project Title:

Project Category: (select one)

- Construction or Renovation Projects that are designed to enhance security of public transit facilities
- Explosive device mitigation and remediation equipment
- Chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear explosives search, rescue or response equipment
- Interoperable communications equipment
- Physical security enhancement equipment
- The instillation of fencing, barriers, gates or related security enhancements
- Other security related projects
- A capital project that increases the capacity of operators to prepare for disaster response movement

Project Description (including useful life of capital)

Project Requirements:

Transit Capital Project	Yes	No
Included in SRTP or MTIP (or current amendment)	Yes	No
Fully Funded Project or an Operable Segment	Yes	No
Begin Project within Two Years	Yes	No
Not for Cost Increases of a Programmed Project	Yes	No
Asset's Useful Life is Sufficient for Bond Law	Yes	No
Has a State Controller's Office Recognized Sponsor	Yes	No
Will funds be expended by March 31, 2012	Yes	No

Project Evaluation Criteria:

Environmental & engineering procurement is 100% funded 5 + 5 points	Environmental & engineering plans are complete 5 + 5 points	Measurement of performance 10 points	Cost-effective solution 10 points	Priority for service / project in the adopted SRTP 10 points
Project has benefits that extend beyond one operator 10 points	With CTSGP-CTAF funds, the project is 100% funded 10 points	Other funds are secure in the project budget & schedule 10 points	Cost overruns & savings responsibilities are defined 10 points	Agency will complete the project by March 31, 2012 10 points