



S A C O G

Item #08-5-11  
Consent

## SACOG Board of Directors

May 22, 2008

### Approved Revised Local Transportation Fund Findings of Apportionment for Various Counties

**Issue:** To approve revisions of the findings of apportionment for fiscal year 2007-08 for counties within the SACOG RTPA area.

**Recommendation:** Because there was no quorum, the Government Relations & Public Affairs Committee did not take an official vote, but it was the consensus of those attending that they recommend that the Board approve revised findings of apportionment for three counties within the SACOG RTPA area.

**Committee Action/Discussion:** In January of each fiscal year, the Board approves Findings of Apportionment for the next fiscal year based on the ending fund balance and revenue estimates provided by the County Auditor-Controller. Throughout the fiscal year SACOG staff monitors in coordination with the County Auditor-Controller the actual ending fund balance and the revenue receipts as compared to the original estimates. Upon occasion, when revenue estimates fall short, the Board is requested to approve an amended Finding of Apportionment for that county.

This year, the Board approved a revised Finding of Apportionment for Sutter County in March because revenue was anticipated to not meet the original estimate. In July the Board approved a revised Finding of Apportionment for Sacramento County because the revenue had declined sufficiently from the original January estimates to a degree that it was deemed prudent to reduce the Finding of Apportionment for the current fiscal year. Now that we are three quarters through the fiscal year, Sacramento County is projected to have a shortfall from those revised Findings of Apportionment and staff deems it prudent to revise the findings downward again. The staff has also had extensive discussions with Yolo and Yuba Counties regarding the potential need for revisions to reflect revenues that are less than expected. Attached are recommended reductions for Yuba County for FY 2007/08 and FY 2008/09.

These revised Findings of Apportionment are necessary in order to level out the revenue for the transit operators and claimants such they receive funding as flat as possible between fiscal years and to provide the jurisdictions the most current estimates as they finalize their budgets. It also will prevent the County funds from being in a negative cash position at the end of the current fiscal year.

Attached are copies of the revised LTF estimates for Sacramento and Yuba Counties for FY 2007/08 and FY 2008/09. Copies of these estimates have been transmitted to the claimants in both of those counties

Approved by:

Mike McKeever  
Executive Director

MM:KW:ts  
Attachment

Key Staff: Karen Wilcox, Director of Finance, (916) 340-6210  
James E. Brown, Supervising Senior Planner, (916) 340-6221

S:\SACOG\BRDPCKTS\2008 Packets\May 08\11-Revised LTF.doc 0800102

**COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**  
**FINAL**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS**  
**Fiscal Year 2007-08**

County's Estimated June 30, 2007 Balance	\$0
Local Transportation Fund - Income 2007-08	54,258,887
Less: County Administrative Costs	-17,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	-538,622
	\$53,703,265
Balance for Allocation	\$53,703,265

JURISDICTION	Population <sup>1/</sup>	% of Total County Population	Finding of Apportionment	Pedestrians & Bicycles <sup>2/</sup>	Amount Available for SACOG	Amount Available to Jurisdiction <sup>3/</sup>
Sacramento Co. (Unincorporated)	560,741	40.47%	\$21,733,711	\$434,674	\$55,616	\$1,761,192 <sup>4/</sup>
Citrus Heights	86,883	6.27%	3,367,195	67,344	101,016	3,198,835
Elk Grove	130,874	9.44%	5,069,588	101,392	152,088	4,816,108
Folsom	69,445	5.01%	2,690,534	53,811	80,716	2,556,007
Galt	22,982	1.66%	891,474	17,829	26,744	846,901
Isleton	813	0.06%	32,222	644	967	30,611
Rancho Cordova	56,355	4.07%	2,185,723	43,714	Remaining balance of \$2,142,009 is allocated via the Regional Transit/Paratransit calculation below.	
City of Sacramento	457,514	33.02%	17,732,818	354,656	Remaining balance of \$17,378,162 is allocated via the Regional Transit/Paratransit calculation below.	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,385,607</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$53,703,265</b>	<b>\$1,074,064</b>	<b>\$417,147</b>	<b>\$13,209,654</b>

**SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT and PARATRANSIT**

JURISDICTION	Findings of Apportionment \$ amt Less Bike/Ped \$ amt	% of Population Within RT District	Amount Available for allocation to Paratransit, SACOG and RT	Paratransit's 5% of Amount available	Amount Available for SACOG Planning	Remaining Amount for SRTD
Sacramento Co. (Unincorporated)	\$21,299,037	91.47%	\$19,482,229	\$974,111	\$596,395	\$17,911,723
Rancho Cordova	2,142,009	100.00%	2,142,009	107,100	65,572	1,969,337
Sacramento City	17,378,162	100.00%	17,378,162	868,908	531,985	15,977,269
<b>TOTALS</b>			<b>\$39,002,400</b>	<b>\$1,950,119</b>	<b>\$1,193,952</b>	<b>\$35,858,329</b>

Regional Transit	\$35,858,329
Paratransit	\$1,950,119
SACOG	\$1,611,099
Ped/Bike	\$1,074,064
Remaining	\$13,209,654
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$53,703,265</b>

Footnotes:

- 1/ Sources: CA Department of Finance E-1, January 1, 2006
- 2/ Amount available to jurisdictions for pedestrian and bicycle purposes (Article 3, Section 99233.3), unless found that such monies could be used to better advantage for the purposes of community transit services (Article 4.5), to operators of public transportation systems (Article 4), or for streets and roads (Article 8).
- 3/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.
- 4/ All or a portion may be available for transit depending upon outcome of unmet needs finding.

**COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**  
**REVISED**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS**  
**Fiscal Year 2007-08**

County's Estimated June 30, 2007 Balance	(\$1,096,278)
Local Transportation Fund - Income 2007-08	50,144,916
Less: County Administrative Costs	-17,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	-486,884
	\$48,544,754
Balance for Allocation	\$48,544,754

JURISDICTION	Population <sup>1/</sup>	% of Total County Population	Finding of Apportionment	Pedestrians & Bicycles <sup>2/</sup>	Amount Available for SACOG	Amount Available to Jurisdiction <sup>3/</sup>
Sacramento Co. (Unincorporated)	560,741	40.47%	\$19,646,062	\$392,921	\$50,274	\$1,592,019 <sup>4/</sup>
Citrus Heights	86,883	6.27%	3,043,756	60,875	91,313	2,891,568
Elk Grove	130,874	9.44%	4,582,625	91,653	137,479	4,353,493
Folsom	69,445	5.01%	2,432,092	48,642	72,963	2,310,487
Galt	22,982	1.66%	805,843	16,117	24,175	765,551
Isleton	813	0.06%	29,127	583	874	27,670
Rancho Cordova	56,355	4.07%	1,975,771	39,515	Remaining balance of \$2,040,635 is allocated via the Regional Transit/Paratransit calculation below.	
City of Sacramento	457,514	33.02%	16,029,478	320,590	Remaining balance of \$16,555,719 is allocated via the Regional Transit/Paratransit calculation below.	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,385,607</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$48,544,754</b>	<b>\$970,896</b>	<b>\$377,078</b>	<b>\$11,940,788</b>

**SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT and PARATRANSIT**

JURISDICTION	Findings of Apportionment \$ amt Less Bike/Ped \$ amt	% of Population Within RT District	Amount Available for allocation to Paratransit, SACOG and RT	Paratransit's 5% of Amount available	Amount Available for SACOG Planning	Remaining Amount for SRTD
Sacramento Co. (Unincorporated)	\$19,253,141	91.47%	\$17,610,848	\$880,542	\$539,108	\$16,191,198
Rancho Cordova	1,936,256	100.00%	1,936,256	96,813	59,273	1,780,170
Sacramento City	15,708,888	100.00%	15,708,888	785,444	480,884	14,442,560
<b>TOTALS</b>			<b>\$35,255,992</b>	<b>\$1,762,799</b>	<b>\$1,079,265</b>	<b>\$32,413,928</b>

Regional Transit	\$32,413,928
Paratransit	\$1,762,799
SACOG	\$1,456,343
Ped/Bike	\$970,896
Remaining	\$11,940,788
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$48,544,754</b>

**Footnotes:**

- 1/ Sources: CA Department of Finance E-1, January 1, 2006
- 2/ Amount available to jurisdictions for pedestrian and bicycle purposes (Article 3, Section 99233.3), unless found that such monies could be used to better advantage for the purposes of community transit services (Article 4.5), to operators of public transportation systems (Article 4), or for streets and roads (Article 8).
- 3/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.
- 4/ All or a portion may be available for transit depending upon outcome of unmet needs finding.

**COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**  
**REVISION #2**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS**  
**Fiscal Year 2007-08**

County's Estimated June 30, 2007 Balance	(\$1,096,278)
Local Transportation Fund - Income 2007-08	49,144,919
Less: County Administrative Costs	-17,000
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	-476,954
	\$47,554,687
Balance for Allocation	\$47,554,687

JURISDICTION	Population <sup>1/</sup>	% of Total County Population	Finding of Apportionment	Pedestrians & Bicycles <sup>2/</sup>	Amount Available for SACOG	Amount Available to Jurisdiction <sup>3/</sup>
Sacramento Co. (Unincorporated)	560,741	40.47%	\$19,245,382	\$384,908	\$49,248	\$1,559,550 <sup>4/</sup>
Citrus Heights	86,883	6.27%	2,981,679	59,634	89,450	2,832,595
Elk Grove	130,874	9.44%	4,489,162	89,783	134,675	4,264,704
Folsom	69,445	5.01%	2,382,490	47,650	71,475	2,263,364
Galt	22,982	1.66%	789,408	15,788	23,682	749,938
Isleton	813	0.06%	28,533	571	856	27,106
Rancho Cordova	56,355	4.07%	1,935,476	38,710	Remaining balance of \$2,040,635 is allocated via the Regional Transit/Paratransit calculation below.	
City of Sacramento	457,514	33.02%	15,702,558	314,051	Remaining balance of \$16,555,719 is allocated via the Regional Transit/Paratransit calculation below.	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,385,607</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$47,554,688</b>	<b>\$951,095</b>	<b>\$369,386</b>	<b>\$11,697,257</b>

**SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT and PARATRANSIT**

JURISDICTION	Findings of Apportionment \$ amt Less Bike/Ped \$ amt	% of Population Within RT District	Amount Available for allocation to Paratransit, SACOG and RT	Paratransit's 5% of Amount available	Amount Available for SACOG Planning	Remaining Amount for SRTD
Sacramento Co. (Unincorporated)	\$18,860,474	91.47%	\$17,251,676	\$862,584	\$528,113	\$15,860,979
Rancho Cordova	1,896,766	100.00%	1,896,766	94,838	58,064	1,743,864
Sacramento City	15,388,507	100.00%	15,388,507	769,425	471,077	14,148,005
<b>TOTALS</b>			<b>\$34,536,949</b>	<b>\$1,726,847</b>	<b>\$1,057,254</b>	<b>\$31,752,848</b>

Regional Transit	\$31,752,848
Paratransit	\$1,726,847
SACOG	\$1,426,640
Ped/Bike	\$951,095
Remaining	\$11,697,257
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$47,554,687</b>

Footnotes:

- 1/ Sources: CA Department of Finance E-1, January 1, 2006
- 2/ Amount available to jurisdictions for pedestrian and bicycle purposes (Article 3, Section 99233.3), unless found that such monies could be used to better advantage for the purposes of community transit services (Article 4.5), to operators of public transportation systems (Article 4), or for streets and roads (Article 8).
- 3/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.
- 4/ All or a portion may be available for transit depending upon outcome of unmet needs finding.

**COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**

**Comparison**

**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**

**Fiscal Year 2007-08**

**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND**

<b>ORIGINAL</b> Finding of Apportionment January 2007	<b>REVISION #1</b> Finding of Apportionment July 2007	<b>REVISION #2</b> Finding of Apportionment May 2008	<b>DIFFERENCE</b> From Original	<b>DIFFERENCE</b> From Revision #1
--	--	---	------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

**JURISDICTION**

<b>County of Sacramento</b>	\$1,761,192	\$1,592,019	\$1,559,550	-201,642	-32,469
<b>Citrus Heights</b>	3,198,835	2,891,568	2,832,595	-366,240	-58,973
<b>Elk Grove</b>	4,816,108	4,353,493	4,264,704	-551,404	-88,789
<b>Folsom</b>	2,556,007	2,310,487	2,263,364	-292,643	-47,123
<b>Galt</b>	846,901	765,551	749,938	-96,963	-15,613
<b>Isleton</b>	30,611	27,670	27,106	-3,505	-564
<b>Rancho Cordova</b>	Entire apportionment is allocated to SRTD				
<b>City of Sacramento</b>	Entire apportionment is allocated to SRTD				
<b>Sacramento Regional Transit District</b>	35,858,329	32,413,928	31,752,848	-4,105,481	-661,080
<b>Paratransit</b>	1,950,119	1,762,799	1,726,847	-223,272	-35,952
<b>Bicycle and Pedestrian</b>	1,074,064	970,896	951,095	-122,969	-19,801
<b>SACOG</b>					
<b>Administration Fee</b>	538,622	486,884	476,954	-61,668	-9,930
<b>Planning Fee</b>	1,611,099	1,456,343	1,426,640	-184,459	-29,703
<b>Sacramento Co Admin Fee</b>	17,000	17,000	17,000	0	0
<b>TOTAL APPORTIONMENT</b>	<u>54,258,887</u>	<u>49,048,638</u>	<u>48,048,641</u>	<u>-6,210,246</u>	<u>-999,997</u>

**COUNTY OF YUBA**  
**FINAL**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS**  
**Fiscal Year 2007-08**

County's Estimated June 30, 2007 Balance	\$810,000
Local Transportation Fund - Income 2007-08	1,450,000
Less: County Administrative Costs	-750
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-22,434</u>

Balance for Allocation \$2,236,816

5/20/08 2:05 PM

<b>JURISDICTION</b>	<b>Population <sup>1/</sup></b>	<b>% of Total County Population</b>	<b>Finding of Apportionment</b>	<b>Amount Available for SACOG Planning</b>	<b>Amount Available to Jurisdiction <sup>2/</sup></b>
<b>Yuba Co. (Unincorporated)</b>	53,771	77.01%	\$1,722,572	\$51,677	\$1,670,895 <sup>3/</sup>
<b>Marysville</b>	12,591	18.03%	\$403,298	\$12,099	391,199 <sup>3/</sup>
<b>Wheatland</b>	3,465	4.96%	\$110,946	\$3,328	107,618
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>69,827</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$2,236,816</b>	<b>\$67,104</b>	<b>\$2,169,712</b>

1/ Source: CA Department of Finance E-1, January 1, 2006.

2/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

3/ All or a portion may be available to the Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

**COUNTY OF YUBA**  
**Revised - FINAL**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS**  
**Fiscal Year 2007-08**

County's Estimated June 30, 2007 Balance	\$550,000
Local Transportation Fund - Income 2007-08	1,450,000
Less: County Administrative Costs	-750
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-19,853</u>

Balance for Allocation \$1,979,397

5/20/08 2:05 PM

<b>JURISDICTION</b>	<b>Population <sup>1/</sup></b>	<b>% of Total County Population</b>	<b>Finding of Apportionment</b>	<b>Amount Available for SACOG Planning</b>	<b>Amount Available to Jurisdiction <sup>2/</sup></b>
<b>Yuba Co. (Unincorporated)</b>	53,771	77.01%	\$1,524,334	\$45,730	\$1,478,604 <sub>3/</sub>
<b>Marysville</b>	12,591	18.03%	\$356,885	\$10,707	346,178 <sub>3/</sub>
<b>Wheatland</b>	3,465	4.96%	\$98,178	\$2,945	95,233
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>69,827</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$1,979,397</b>	<b>\$59,382</b>	<b>\$1,920,015</b>

1/ Source: CA Department of Finance E-1, January 1, 2006.

2/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

3/ All or a portion may be available to the Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

5/16/2008

**COUNTY OF YUBA**  
**FINAL**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS**  
**Fiscal Year 2008-09**

County's Estimated June 30, 2008 Balance	\$23,000
Local Transportation Fund - Income 2008-09	1,375,000
Less: County Administrative Costs	-750
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-13,875</u>

Balance for Allocation \$1,383,375

5/20/08 2:12 PM

<b>JURISDICTION</b>	<b>Population <sup>1/</sup></b>	<b>% of Total County Population</b>	<b>Finding of Apportionment</b>	<b>Amount Available for SACOG Planning</b>	<b>Amount Available to Jurisdiction <sup>2/</sup></b>
<b>Yuba Co. (Unincorporated)</b>	54,519	77.06%	\$1,066,029	\$31,981	\$1,034,048 <sub>3/</sub>
<b>Marysville</b>	12,713	17.97%	\$248,592	\$7,458	241,134 <sub>3/</sub>
<b>Wheatland</b>	3,513	4.97%	\$68,754	\$2,063	66,691
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>70,745</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$1,383,375</b>	<b>\$41,502</b>	<b>\$1,341,873</b>

1/ Source: CA Department of Finance E-1, January 1, 2007.

2/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

3/ All or a portion may be available to the Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

**COUNTY OF YUBA**  
**Revised - FINAL**  
**FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT**  
**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS**  
**Fiscal Year 2008-09**

County's Estimated June 30, 2008 Balance	\$0
Local Transportation Fund - Income 2008-09	1,035,000
Less: County Administrative Costs	-750
Less: SACOG Administrative Costs	<u>-10,270</u>
 Balance for Allocation	 <u><u>\$1,023,980</u></u>

5/20/08 2:12 PM

JURISDICTION	Population <sup>1/</sup>	% of Total County Population	Finding of Apportionment	Amount Available for SACOG Planning	Amount Available to Jurisdiction <sup>2/</sup>
<b>Yuba Co. (Unincorporated)</b>	54,519	77.06%	\$789,079	\$23,672	\$765,407 <sub>3/</sub>
<b>Marysville</b>	12,713	17.97%	\$184,009	\$5,520	178,489 <sub>3/</sub>
<b>Wheatland</b>	3,513	4.97%	\$50,892	\$1,527	49,365
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>70,745</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$1,023,980</b>	<b>\$30,719</b>	<b>\$993,261</b>

1/ Source: CA Department of Finance E-1, January 1, 2007.

2/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

3/ All or a portion may be available to the Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority depending on outcome of unmet needs finding.

5/16/2008