



SACOG Board of Directors

May 11, 2006

Proposed Amendment to the Contract with URS Corporation

Issue: Whether to recommend approval of the proposed amendment to the contract with URS Corporation for the Elk Grove – Rancho Cordova – El Dorado Connector Environmental Phase I project.

Recommendation: The Administration Committee recommends that the Board of Directors approve the proposed amendment to the contract with URS Corporation.

Committee Action/Discussion: At the September 2005 meeting, the Board of Directors approved a six-month action plan to advance the Elk Grove-Rancho Cordova-El Dorado Connector Project. To assist staff in completing the work outlined in the action plan, the services of a consulting firm were solicited. SACOG staff issued a request for proposals (RFP) on September 28, 2005. The RFP was issued on September 28, 2005, and in accordance with the SACOG Purchasing Policies and Procedures, proposals were received by October 26, 2005. The URS Corporation submitted a proposal and was selected as the successful consultant. To allow staff to move ahead more quickly with the six-month work program, at the October 2005 meeting, the Board of Directors authorized the Executive Director to enter into a contract with URS to complete the tasks described in the RFP, rather than waiting for Board action in mid-November. Staff negotiated with URS to modify the bid from a total cost of \$1,135,547 to \$579,832, which still addressed the essential tasks needed to implement the action plan.

Work has rapidly progressed on the Connector project. The Policy Advisory Committee (PAC) and Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) continue to meet; draft elements of functional guidelines, a draft purpose and need statement, environmental screening criteria, and a public outreach strategy have been presented to the committees. At the February 2006 meeting, the PAC recommended that four additional public information sessions be provided, for a total of six, to better inform the community.

Work also continues regarding the governance of the Connector, with discussions regarding a draft JPA agreement in progress with all five jurisdictions. The project team has also been developing travel demand forecasts, which are based upon land use projections in accordance with the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) 2030 and the Blueprint Preferred Scenario. The development of these projections was delayed due to additional time SACOG staff needed to work with the local governments, including coordinating the growth projections with the projections of the East Sacramento County U.S. 50 Mobility Study. In addition, some of the local governments have requested additional time to review and discuss the draft JPA agreement. For these reasons, the schedule of the project will be extended to late summer 2006 (Attachment A).

The proposed contract amendment includes a budget augmentation of \$59,532 for URS team members to provide support for the four additional public information sessions and for additional project management services related to the extension of the overall project schedule to August 31, 2006. The total

compensation of the contract would increase to \$639,364 (Attachment B). Funds for the proposed contract amendment are included in SACOG's adopted budget; therefore no budget increase is required.

Approved by:

Mike McKeever
Executive Director

MM:DJ:gg
Attachments

Key Staff: Karen Wilcox, Director of Finance, (916) 340-6210
Pete Hathaway, Director of Transportation Planning, (916) 340-6235
Debra Jones, Project Delivery Manager, (916) 340-6242
Aimee L. Hagen, Associate Planner, (916) 340-6211

S:\SACOG\BRDPCKTS\2006 Packets\May\6-URS.doc 0600308

SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
 ELK GROVE – RANCHO CORDOVA – EL DORADO CONNECTOR
 ENVIRONMENTAL PHASE 1 (ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS AND PROJECT DEFINITION)
 PROJECT SCHEDULE



Revised 3-13-06

DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT As of 3/13/06

TASK	2005					2006																																
	November/December					January					February					March				April				May				June				July				August		
	28	5	12	19	26	2	9	16	23	30	6	13	20	27	6	13	20	27	3	10	17	24	1	8	15	22	29	5	12	19	26	3	10	17	24	31	7	14
1.0 Management/Meetings TAC Meetings PAC Meetings Public Meetings	TAC 1					TAC 2					TAC 3					TAC 4				TAC 5				TAC 6				TAC 7				TAC 8			PAC 8			
2.0 Purpose & Need						PAC 1					PAC 2					PAC 3				PAC 4				PAC 5				PAC 6				PAC 7						
3.0 Functional Guidelines						PM					PM				PM				PM				PM				PM				TR							
4.0 Environmental Screening Screening Criteria Data Collection Screening/Analysis	PM					PM																																
5.0 Travel Demand Forecast	Data*					Data*																																
6.0 Concept Engineering Reconnaissance Conceptual Design Cost Estimates Drawings and Reports						PM																																
7.0 Financial Plan						TR																																
8.0 Alts. Evaluation/Report Alternatives Evaluation Draft Report Final Report						TR, DR, FR																																

PM Preliminary Memo (Informal) TR Task Report DR Draft Final Report FR Final Report
 Active Task Intermittent PAC PAC Meeting TAC TAC Meeting PMtg Public Meeting

*2030 land use data released

Revisions to the Contract

1. **Time of Performance:** The Contractor shall commence work upon execution of this Agreement and in accordance with the Scope of Work, attached hereto as Exhibit “A” and incorporated herein, and as expeditiously as is consistent with generally accepted standards of professional skill and care and the orderly progress of work. Work shall be completed and this Agreement shall expire on ~~June 30, 2006~~ **August 31, 2006**, unless otherwise terminated as provided for in this Agreement, or extended by written agreement between the parties.

5. **Consideration:** Payment to Contractor by SACOG shall be made as set forth in Exhibit “A”. The amount to be paid to Contractor under this Agreement shall not exceed ~~Five Hundred Seventy Nine Thousand, Eight Hundred Thirty Two dollars (\$579,832.00)~~. **Six Hundred Thirty Nine Thousand, Three Hundred Sixty Four dollars (\$639, 364.00)**. In no instance shall SACOG be liable for any payments or costs for work in excess of this amount unless expressly authorized in writing by the SACOG Executive Director, nor for any unauthorized or ineligible costs. Contractor shall be paid at the times and in the manner set forth in this Agreement. The consideration to be paid Contractor, as provided in this Agreement, shall be in compensation for all of Contractor’s expenses incurred in the performance of work under this Agreement, including travel and per diem, unless otherwise expressly so provided.

Revisions to the Scope of Work

Subtask 9.1 – Progress Meetings

The URS Project Manager and other Team members as required will participate in periodic coordination meetings with SACOG. These meetings will cover a broad variety of project organization and management tasks, including project technical progress. Up to ~~12~~**14** progress meetings will be held over the duration of the project.

Subtask 9.2 – TAC and PAC Meetings

The URS Project Manager and appropriate members of the Project Team, as approved by the SACOG Project Manager, will attend up to ~~four (4)~~ **six (6)** Technical Advisory Committee and ~~four (4)~~ **six (6)** Policy Advisory Committee meetings.