

COUNTY OF YUBA
FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT
Fiscal Year 2006-07

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Estimated June 30, 2006 Balance | \$714,000 |
| Local Transportation Fund - Income 2006-07 | 990,125 |
| Less: County Administrative Costs | -750 |
| Less: SACOG Administrative Costs | <u>-16,915</u> |
| Balance for Allocation | \$1,686,460 |

| JURISDICTION | Population ^{1/} | % of Total County Population | Finding of Apportionment | Amount Available for SACOG | Amount Available to Jurisdiction ^{2/} |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Yuba Co. (Unincorporated) | 50,674 | 75.9343% | \$1,280,602 | \$38,418 | \$1,242,184 _{3/} |
| Marysville | 12,628 | 18.9229% | 319,127 | \$9,574 | 309,553 _{3/} |
| Wheatland | 3,432 | 5.1428% | 86,731 | \$2,602 | 84,129 |
| TOTALS | 66,734 | 100.0000% | \$1,686,460 | \$50,594 | \$1,635,866 |

1/ Source: Report 05 E-1, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, January 1, 2005.

2/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

3/ All or a portion may be available to the Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority depending outcome of unmet needs finding.

COUNTY OF YOLO

FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT - LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Fiscal Year 2006-07

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Estimated June 30, 2006 Balance | \$1,604,284 |
| Local Transportation Fund - Income 2006-07 | 8,293,679 |
| Less: County Administrative Costs | -5,000 |
| Less: SACOG Administrative Costs | <u>-98,237</u> |
| Balance for Allocation | \$9,794,726 |

| JURISDICTION | Population ^{1/} | % of Total County Population | Finding of Apportionment | Amount Available for SACOG | Amount Available to Jurisdiction ^{2/} |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Yolo Co. (Unincorporated) | 22,775 | 12.1309% | \$1,188,188 | \$35,646 | \$1,152,542 |
| Davis | 64,401 | 34.3027% | 3,359,855 | 100,796 | 3,259,059 |
| West Sacramento | 40,206 | 21.4154% | 2,097,580 | 62,927 | 2,034,653 |
| Winters | 6,979 | 3.7173% | 364,099 | 10,923 | 353,176 |
| Woodland | 53,382 | 28.4337% | 2,785,004 | 83,550 | 2,701,454 |
| TOTALS | 187,743 | 100.0000% | \$9,794,726 | \$293,842 | \$9,500,884 |

1/ Source: Report 05 E-1, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, January 1, 2005.

2/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

All or a portion may be available to the Yolo County Transportation District depending outcome of unmet needs finding.

COUNTY OF SUTTER

FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT - LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Fiscal Year 2006-07

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Estimated June 30, 2006 Balance | \$0 |
| Local Transportation Fund - Income 2006-07 | 3,383,000 |
| Less: County Administrative Costs | -2,400 |
| Less: SACOG Administrative Costs | <u>-33,569</u> |
| Balance for Allocation | \$3,347,031 |

| JURISDICTION | Population ^{1/} | % of Total County Population | Finding of Apportionment | Amount Available for SACOG | Amount Available to Jurisdiction ^{2/} |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Sutter Co. (Unincorporated) | 23,792 | 26.7491% | \$895,301 | \$26,859 | \$868,442 ^{3/} |
| Live Oak | 6,785 | 7.6283% | 255,322 | 7,660 | 247,662 |
| Yuba City | 58,368 | 65.6226% | 2,196,408 | 65,892 | 2,130,516 ^{3/} |
| TOTALS | 88,945 | 100.0000% | \$3,347,031 | \$100,411 | \$3,246,620 |

1/ Source: Report 05 E-1, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, January 1, 2005.

2/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.

3/ All or a portion may be available to the Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority depending outcome of unmet needs finding.

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT - LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Fiscal Year 2006-07

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Estimated June 30, 2006 Balance | \$4,428,060 |
| Local Transportation Fund - Income 2006-07 | 57,381,761 |
| Less: County Administrative Costs | -17,000 |
| Less: SACOG Administrative Costs | <u>-613,603</u> |
| Balance for Allocation | \$61,179,218 |

| JURISDICTION | Population ^{1/} | % of Total County Population | Finding of Apportionment | Pedestrians & Bicycles ^{2/} | Amount Available for SACOG | Amount Available to Jurisdiction ^{3/} |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Sacramento Co. (Unincorporated) | 560,785 | 40.9375% | \$25,045,242 | \$500,905 | \$50,426 <small>Remaining \$700,931 is computed in the RT Partransit calculation.</small> | \$1,596,818 <small>Remaining \$21,051,307 is computed in RT Partransit calculation.</small> |
| Citrus Heights | 87,549 | 6.3911% | 3,910,025 | 78,201 | 117,301 | 3,714,523 |
| Elk Grove | 121,609 | 8.8775% | 5,431,185 | 108,624 | 162,936 | 5,159,625 |
| Folsom | 68,033 | 4.9664% | 3,038,405 | 60,768 | 91,152 | 2,886,485 |
| Galt | 22,955 | 1.6757% | 1,025,180 | 20,504 | 30,755 | 973,921 |
| Isleton | 820 | 0.0599% | 36,646 | 733 | 1,099 | 34,814 |
| Rancho Cordova | 55,145 | 4.0256% | 2,462,831 | 49,257 | <small>Remaining balance of \$2,413,574 is allocated via the Regional Transit/Paratransit calculation below.</small> | |
| Sacramento City | 452,959 | 33.0663% | 20,229,704 | 404,594 | <small>Remaining balance of \$19,825,110 is allocated via the Regional Transit/Paratransit calculation below.</small> | |
| TOTALS | 1,369,855 | 100.0000% | \$61,179,218 | \$1,223,586 | \$453,669 | \$14,366,186 |

SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT and PARATRANSIT

| JURISDICTION | Findings of Apportionment \$ amt Less Bike/Ped \$ amt | % of Population Within RT District | Amount Available for allocation to Paratransit, SACOG and RT | Paratransit's 5% of Amount available | Amount Available for SACOG | Remaining Amount for SRTD |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sacramento Co. (Unincorporated) | \$24,544,337 | 93.2887% | \$22,897,093 | \$1,144,855 | \$700,931 | \$21,051,307 |
| Rancho Cordova | 2,413,574 | 100.0000% | 2,413,574 | 120,679 | 73,885 | 2,219,010 |
| Sacramento City | 19,825,110 | 100.0000% | 19,825,110 | 991,256 | 606,891 | 18,226,963 |
| TOTALS | | | \$45,135,777 | \$2,256,790 | \$1,381,707 | \$41,497,280 |

| | |
|------------------|---------------------|
| Regional Transit | \$41,497,280 |
| Paratransit | \$2,256,790 |
| SACOG | \$1,835,376 |
| Ped/Bike | \$1,223,586 |
| Remaining | <u>\$14,366,186</u> |
| Total | \$61,179,218 |

Footnotes:

- 1/ Sources: Report 05 E-1, Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, January 1, 2005
 2/ Amount available to jurisdictions for pedestrian and bicycle purposes (Article 3, Section 99233.3), unless found that such monies could be used to better advantage for the purposes of community transit services (Article 4.5), to operators of public transportation systems (Article 4), or for streets and roads (Article 8).
 3/ Funds available for projects and programs under Article 4 and Article 8.
 4/ All or a portion may be available for transit depending upon outcome of unmet needs finding.